remains in the traditional role of homemaker or is, instead, the breadwinner.

There has been one other area of frequent dissatisfaction with the insurance program on the part of women workers, particularly those whose husbands are disabled or otherwise dependent on them. Since the 1939 amendments, which added benefits for dependents, the wife has been deemed dependent on the husband and at the age of 65 is eligible for a benefit as a wife or widow on the husband's wage record, without inguiry as to whether or not she was actually dependent on him. The presumption of her dependency is overcome only if she is entitled to an insurance benefit on her own wage record greater than that she might receive as his wife. The earlier legislation made no provision for benefits to a husband or widower on a woman's wage record. Often a woman is in the labor force because her husband is dependent on her as the breadwinner. Such a woman pays the same contribution as the man whose wife or widow is included in the insurance program, and she naturally feels that her contributions should purchase the same degree of family protection.

The 1950 amendments have resolved this inequity. The new law retains the concept of deemed dependency of the wife on the husband, which fits the usual family situation. but it also permits the husband or widower to become a beneficiary on the basis of the wife's wage record if he has in fact been dependent on her. The procedure for determining dependency is similar to that followed since 1939 for parents. The benefits for a wife and for a dependent husband are the same proportion of the insured worker's primary insurance amount; similarly, there is no difference between the benefit rate for a widow and that for a dependent widower.

These amendments affecting the dependents of women workers might be said to be justified by qualitative rather than quantitative considerations. No one provision will result in large numbers of additional benefits, and hence none will add much to the cost of the insurance program. The amendments affecting children are important only if the mother dies prematurely, since few women aged 65 or older will have children under age 18. The number of benefit payments to the children of women workers is thus limited by the death

rate, which is low for women at the ages at which they are likely to have young children. There will also be few dependent widowers. The average husband is a few years older than his wife, and the life expectancy of women is higher than that for men. In most cases, a wife who has been in the labor force will outlive a dependent husband, particularly since the dependency in most cases will have been because of the man's disability.

It is probable that the actual number of benefits paid on women workers' wage records will remain far lower than the ratio for women to men in the covered labor force would indicate. These amendments make it possible, however, to pay benefits in situations in which the former exclusion from benefits could not be justified from considerations of social utility or equity. They remove the grievance often voiced that the insurance program has treated many women with family obligations as though they were "pin-money" workers.

The best justification for these amendments lies in the feeling of security that they give a woman worker with respect to her dependents.

## Notes and Brief Reports

## Applicants for Account Numbers, 1950

The 2,891,000 employee accounts established in 1950 brought the aggregate number by the end of the year to 97,526,000 (table 1). The 1950 total sharply reversed the downward movement in the annual number of accounts established that had persisted since 1942; it represented a rise of 24 percent over the 1949 level and was larger than in any other year since 1946 (table 2).

The increase is attributable in part to the sizable expansion of job opportunities in 1950; many persons who could not find jobs during 1949, when employment opportunities were relatively scarce, postponed their application for account numbers until 1950. In addition, during October-

December 1950, account numbers were issued to a substantial number of persons in employments newly covered by the 1950 amendments to the Social Security Act adopted in August of that year.<sup>1</sup>

Table 1.--Number of applicants for account numbers and the cumulative number as of the end of each period, by sex and by year, 1940-50

[In thousands]

_	To	tal	М	ale	Female		
Period	Total	Cumulative	Total	Cumulative	Total	Cumulative	
	during	total as of	during	total as of	during	total as of	
	period	end of period	period	end of period	period	end of period	
1940	5, 227	54, 225	3, 080	37, 342	2, 147	16, 88:	
	6, 678	60, 903	3, 702	41, 044	2, 976	19, 859	
	7, 638	68, 541	3, 548	44, 592	4, 090	23, 944	
	7, 426	75, 967	2, 904	47, 496	4, 522	28, 47:	
	4, 537	80, 504	1, 828	49, 324	2, 709	31, 188	
	3, 321	83, 825	1, 504	50, 828	1, 817	32, 99	
	3, 022	86, 847	1, 432	52, 260	1, 590	34, 58:	
	2, 728	89, 575	1, 299	53, 559	1, 429	36, 01:	
	2, 720	92, 295	1, 305	54, 864	1, 415	37, 43	
	2, 340	94, 635	1, 113	55, 977	1, 226	38, 65	
	2, 891	97, 526	1, 405	57, 382	1, 485	40, 14:	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For a discussion of the coverage provisions, see George J. Leibowitz, "Old-Age and Survivors Insurance: Coverage Under the 1950 Amendments," Social Security Bulletin, December 1950.

Table 2.—Distribution of applicants for account numbers by race, age group, and sex, by year, 1940-50

37	Total		Negro			Under 20 years			20 years and over 1			
Year	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
1940 1941 1942 1943 1944 1945 1946 1947 1948 1949 1950	5, 226, 688 6, 677, 584 7, 637, 416 7, 415, 294 4, 528, 578 3, 321, 384 3, 022, 057 2, 727, 810 2, 719, 642 2, 339, 502 2, 890, 570	3, 080, 032 3, 701, 467 3, 547, 376 2, 901, 273 1, 826, 179 1, 505, 839 1, 431, 760 1, 299, 092 1, 304, 625 1, 113, 006 1, 405, 349	2, 146, 656 2, 976, 117 4, 090, 040 4, 514, 021 2, 702, 399 1, 815, 545 1, 590, 297 1, 428, 718 1, 415, 017 1, 226, 496 1, 485, 221	630, 337 786, 668 905, 238 1, 058, 178 738, 739 504, 321 388, 489 314, 788 309, 790 259, 620 319, 272	413, 984 508, 979 457, 145 355, 341 253, 197 195, 313 185, 709 164, 975 150, 628 125, 342 157, 739	216, 353 277, 689 448, 093 702, 837 485, 542 309, 008 202, 780 159, 813 159, 182 134, 278 161, 533	2, 137, 542 3, 174, 241 3, 720, 663 3, 649, 172 2, 444, 995 1, 851, 854 1, 600, 260 1, 620, 237 1, 770, 613 1, 518, 152 1, 885, 658	1, 264, 299 1, 885, 858 2, 013, 325 1, 835, 939 1, 213, 002 922, 562 746, 796 801, 092 912, 189 773, 289 1, 001, 757	873, 243 1, 288, 383 1, 707, 338 1, 813, 233 1, 231, 993 929, 292 853, 464 819, 145 858, 424 744, 863 883, 901	3, 089, 146 3, 503, 343 3, 916, 753 3, 766, 122 2, 083, 583 1, 469, 530 1, 421, 797 1, 107, 573 949, 029 821, 350 1, 004, 912	1, 815, 733 1, 815, 609 1, 534, 051 1, 065, 334 613, 177 583, 277 684, 964 498, 000 392, 436 339, 717 403, 592	1, 273, 413 1, 687, 734 2, 382, 702 2, 700, 788 1, 470, 406 886, 253 736, 833 609, 573 556, 593 481, 633 601, 320

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes a small number of applicants of unknown age.

Table 3.—Distribution of account-number applicants under 20 years of age, by sex and age, 1950 and 1949

	Total			Male			Female		
Age	1950	1949	Percentage change	1950	1949	Percentage change	1950	1949	Percentage change
Under 20, total	1, 885, 658	1, 518, 152	+24.2	1,001,757	773, 289	+29.5	883, 901	744, 863	+18.7
Under 14	41, 897 99, 318 237, 127 525, 546 442, 668 363, 213 175, 889	44, 607 97, 143 206, 925 410, 417 354, 992 265, 678 138, 390	$\begin{array}{c} -6.1 \\ +2.2 \\ +14.6 \\ +28.1 \\ +24.7 \\ +36.7 \\ +27.1 \end{array}$	32, 697 64, 714 136, 844 267, 059 230, 797 182, 147 87, 499	34, 385 61, 409 115, 916 199, 309 174, 050 123, 678 64, 542	$\begin{array}{c} -4.9 \\ +5.4 \\ +18.1 \\ +34.0 \\ +32.6 \\ +47.3 \\ +35.6 \end{array}$	9, 200 34, 604 100, 283 258, 487 211, 871 181, 066 88, 390	10, 222 35, 734 91, 009 211, 108 180, 942 142, 000 73, 848	-10.0 -3.2 +10.2 +22.4 +17.1 +27.5 +19.7

Although the number of accounts established was larger in 1950 than in 1949 for both men and women. the rise was more marked for men. The 1,405,000 accounts issued to men represented an increase of 26 percent over 1949. It was the second year-to-year increase for men since 1941, the other being a 0.4-percent rise in 1948. The 1,485,000 accounts established for women exceeded the number in 1949 by 21 percent; this increase was the first since 1943, when the number of women applicants reached its wartime peak. Because the increase was sharper for men than for women, men formed a larger proportion of all applicants in 1950 than in the preceding 3 years— 49 percent as against 48 percent.

The number of account numbers issued to persons under 20 years of age, which had declined 14 percent from 1948 to 1949, rose 24 percent during 1950 to 1,886,000. The increases, which occurred for each age group from 14 to 19, were particularly sharp at ages 16-19 (table 3). The 1,005,000 applications received in 1950 from persons aged 20 and over exceeded the 1949 figure by 22 percent, the first increase since 1942.

In that year the largest number of middle-aged and older persons obtained jobs in industries engaged in military production during World War II.

The sharp increase for the age group 20 and over reflected chiefly the heavy volume of account-number applications received in October-December 1950 from persons in this age group who were working in employments covered under the 1950 amendments for the first time on January 1, 1951. The rise may be attributable to some extent also to the entrance into covered employment of a relatively large number of

women-normally outside the labor force—who found seasonal employment in retail establishments in the fourth quarter when consumer purchasing was abnormally high. While the number of account numbers issued to persons aged 20 and over rose by 4 percent in January-September as compared with the corresponding period in 1949, the increase was 68 percent in October-December (75 percent for women and 58 percent for men). The fourth-quarter increase was especially marked for the age group 60 and over. During this 3-month period, the number of accounts established for women aged

Table 4.—Distribution of applicants for account numbers by sex, race, and age group, 1950

	Total				Male		Female			
Age group	Total	White 1	Negro	Total	White 1	Negro	Total	White 1	Negro	
Total	2, 890, 570	2, 571, 298	319, 272	1, 405, 349	1, 247, 610	157, 739	1, 485, 221	1, 323, 688	161, 533	
Under 15	141, 215 1, 744, 443 578, 302 345, 305 42, 356 23, 237 15, 353 359	126, 842 1, 572, 180 483, 469 313, 053 39, 615 21, 448 14, 425 266	14, 373 172, 263 94, 833 32, 252 2, 741 1, 789 928 93	97, 411 904, 346 239, 393 119, 281 20, 834 13, 367 10, 431 286	85, 645 802, 004 208, 581 109, 320 19, 622 12, 410 9, 792 236	11, 766 102, 342 30, 812 9, 961 1, 212 957 639 50	43, 804 840, 097 338, 909 226, 024 21, 522 9, 870 4, 922 73	41, 197 770, 176 274, 888 203, 733 19, 993 9, 038 4, 633 30	2, 607 69, 921 64, 621 22, 291 1, 529 832 289 43	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Represents all races other than Negro.

60 or over was more than four times as large as in the corresponding quarter of 1949; for men, it was about three times as large.

The absolute and relative number of elderly applicants, which had been declining steadily since 1943, swung sharply upward in 1950. The 81,000 applications received from persons aged 60 and over represented a rise of 62 percent over 1949; they formed 2.8 percent of all applications, a proportion larger than in any other year since 1946. Although more men than women aged 60 and over applied for account numbers, the proportion of women applicants in this age group increased to 45 percent, as against 40 percent and 39 percent, respectively, in 1949 and 1948.

During 1950, account numbers were issued to 319,000 Negroes—158,-000 men and 161,000 women (tables 2 and 4). This total represented an increase of 23 percent over 1949, as compared with a decline of 16 percent from 1948 to 1949. Negroes formed 11 percent of all applicants—the same as in the preceding year.

## Aged OASI Beneficiaries Outnumber OAA Recipients

During February 1951 the number of aged persons receiving old-age and survivors insurance benefits caught up with and passed the number receiving old-age assistance. The event is significant, marking as it does the long-awaited emergence of the insurance system as the larger of the two programs under the Social Security Act that provide income to aged persons. This goal, a major objective of Congress in the enactment of the Social Security Act Amendments of 1950, was attained 6 months after the new legislation was approved and 5 months after the coming into effect of the liberalized eligibility and benefit conditions.

At the end of February, 2,773,000 persons 65 years of age and over in the continental United States were receiving old-age and survivors insurance benefits either as retired workers, their aged wives, or aged

survivors of deceased, insured workers. Old-age assistance recipients in the 48 States and the District of Columbia in that month numbered 2,752,000. In February 1950, aged beneficiaries numbered 2,007,000 and old-age assistance recipients numbered 2,742,000.

Some beneficiaries whose income from insurance benefits and other sources was not large enough to cover their essential needs were in receipt of supplementary old-age assistance and therefore appeared on the rolls of both programs. The size

of this group is not known for February 1951, but reports to the Bureau of Public Assistance show that in September 1950 the group accounted for 13 percent of the aged old-age and survivors insurance load and 10 percent of the old-age assistance load in that month.

Since States vary considerably in opportunities to work in covered employment, large differences may be expected in the relative size of the two programs, State by State. In February 1951, assistance recipients still outnumbered aged insurance

Number of persons aged 65 and over receiving old-age and survivors insurance benefits and number of old-age assistance recipients, continental United States, by relation to aged population and by State, February 1951

State	Number of persons aged 65 and over receiving old-age and survivors insurance <sup>2</sup>	Number of old-age assistance recipients	Old-age and survivors insurance beneficiaries per 1,000 per- sons aged 65 and over	Old-age assist- ance recipients per 1,000 persons aged 65 and over	Old-age assist- ance recipients per 100 old-age and survivors insurance aged beneficiaries
Total	2, 781, 711	2, 752, 158	225.8	223.4	99
Alabama Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut	31, 180 9, 437 18, 848 238, 809 22, 062 58, 069	81, 400 14, 575 68, 904 273, 964 47, 743 19, 983	173. 2 213. 3 126. 5 275. 4 193. 5 331. 8	452. 2 329. 4 462. 4 316. 0 418. 8 114. 2	261 154 366 115 216 34
Delaware District of Columbia Florida Georgia	6, 830 10, 189 61, 714 30, 391	1, 593 2, 842 69, 422 102, 042	295. 5 175. 7 289. 7 140. 0	60. 5 49. 0 325. 9 470. 2	23 28 112 336
Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland Massachusetts	7, 940 184, 074 82, 201 38, 584 28, 097 35, 280 26, 682 26, 390 37, 772 146, 347	11, 450 118, 420 50, 626 49, 070 39, 019 67, 270 118, 591 15, 307 11, 672 102, 072	182. 4 245. 1 208. 1 141. 2 144. 7 151. 4 163. 7 282. 0 224. 8 323. 8	263. 0 157. 7 128. 2 179. 6 200. 9 288. 7 727. 6 163. 6 69. 5	144 64 62 127 139 191 444 58 31
Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico	119, 883 46, 174 13, 108 72, 179 8, 858 16, 137 2, 664 16, 567 122, 275 4, 110	97, 357 55, 337 60, 897 132, 318 11, 807 23, 034 2, 728 7, 414 23, 760 10, 470	264. 6 160. 3 92. 3 169. 4 174. 1 123. 8 242. 5 286. 2 300. 4 128. 4	214. 9 192. 1 428. 9 310. 6 232. 0 176. 7 248. 3 128. 1 58. 4 327. 2	81 120 465 183 133 143 102 45 19
New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota	354, 358 34, 281 3, 748 182, 781 24, 646 37, 883 256, 915 25, 585 15, 570 5, 148	116, 843 61, 490 9, 070 121, 618 99, 351 23, 544 82, 994 9, 956 42, 118 12, 223	275. 8 152. 1 77. 8 241. 1 127. 1 284. 8 285. 1 393. 6 135. 4 93. 1	90. 9 272. 9 188. 2 160. 4 512. 4 177. 0 92. 1 153. 2 366. 2 221. 1	33 179 242 67 403 62 32 39 270 237
Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming	32, 658 69, 439 8, 540 8, 683 36, 274 58, 235 32, 857 67, 874 3, 385	66, 080 224, 045 9, 891 6, 965 19, 686 71, 863 26, 640 52, 306 4, 388	140. 8 132. 8 201. 3 219. 6 173. 6 267. 1 237. 2 219. 0 186. 3	284. 8 428. 4 233. 2 176. 2 94. 2 329. 6 192. 3 168. 7 241. 6	202 323 116 80 54 123 81 77

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Based on Bureau of the Census data on population aged 65 and over, April 1950; partly estimated.
<sup>2</sup> Persons receiving old-age benefits, wife's or husband's benefits, widow's or widower's benefits, and

parent's benefits. Includes about 9,000 women under age 65 receiving wife's benefits; distribution not available by State.