

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION
OCCUPATIONAL INFORMATION DEVELOPMENT
ADVISORY PANEL TELECONFERENCE MEETING

NOVEMBER 17, 2010

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

WOODLAWN, MARYLAND

* * * * *

DR. MARY BARROS-BAILEY

CHAIR

S R C REPORTERS
(301)645-2677

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

M E M B E R S

- MARY BARROS-BAILEY, Ph.D., CHAIR
- SHANAN GWALTNEY GIBSON, Ph.D.
- THOMAS A. HARDY, J.D.
- JANINE S. HOLLOMAN
- H. ALLAN HUNT, Ph.D.
- SYLVIA E. KARMAN
- DEBORAH E. LECHNER
- ABIGAIL T. PANTER, Ph.D.
- DAVID J. SCHRETLEN, M.D.

C O N T E N T S

ITEM:

Poll of Members	4
Welcome, Review of Agenda	6
Chair's Report - Dr. Mary Barros-Bailey	9
Project Director's Report - Sylvia Karman	10
Research Subcommittee Chair Report Dr. Allan H. Hunt	16
User Needs & Relations Subcommittee Report - Dr. Mary Barros-Bailey	19

C O N T E N T S (CON'T)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

ITEM:

Discussion, Proposed Recommendation on OIS Plan - Dr. Shanan Gwaltney Gibson	21
Deliberation and Voting on Proposed Recommendation on OIS Plan	29
Administrative Meeting	32
Adjournment	38

P R O C E E D I N G S

1
2 OPERATOR: Good morning, ladies and
3 gentlemen, and welcome to the Social Security's
4 Administration OIDAP quarterly meeting. At this
5 time all participants are in a listen only mode.
6 Later on we will conduct a question and answer
7 session, and instructions will follow at that time.

8 If anyone should require operator
9 assistance during today's conference, please press
10 star, then zero on your touch tone telephone. I
11 would now like to introduce your host for today's
12 quarterly meeting, Ms. Debra Tidwell-Peters.

13 Ma'am, you may begin.

14 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Thank you.

15 Good morning, everyone. This is the
16 teleconference meeting of the Occupational
17 Information Development Advisory Panel. My name is
18 Debra Tidwell-Peters, and I'm the Designated
19 Federal Officer for the Panel.

20 Our teleconference today will run
21 approximately from 10:00 until 12 noon, eastern
22 standard time. There will not be a question and

1 answer period for this conference.

2 I would like to turn the meeting over now
3 after I poll the members.

4 Abigail Panter.

5 DR. PANTER: Here.

6 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Allan Hunt.

7 DR. HUNT: Here.

8 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Robert Fraser.

9 We will see if we can get him on the
10 line.

11 Shanan Gwaltney Gibson.

12 DR. GIBSON: Present.

13 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Mary Barros-Bailey.

14 DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Present.

15 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Sylvia Karman.

16 MS. KARMAN: Present.

17 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: David Schretlen.

18 DR. SCHRETLEN: Present.

19 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Gunnar Andersson will
20 not be able to join us.

21 Abigail Panter.

22 DR. PANTER: Here again.

1 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Okay.

2 MR. HARDY: You forgot me, Deb.

3 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Oh, I got you.

4 Thomas Hardy.

5 MR. HARDY: Present.

6 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Thank you. I believe
7 that's all of our members.

8 MS. HOLLOMAN: No. Janine Holloman.

9 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Oh, I am sorry,
10 Janine Holloman. Are you present?

11 MS. HOLLOMAN: I am present.

12 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Thank you very much.
13 So it sounds like, Panel Chair, we have a
14 quorum.

15 DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Thank you, Debra. And
16 thank you all for your attendance to this telephonic
17 conference of the Occupational Information
18 Development Advisory Panel. If you would like to
19 follow along and you are listening into our agenda
20 for the day, or to access our charter or technical
21 and working papers, past presentations and reports,
22 you can go to our web site www.SocialSecurity.gov

1 forward "slash" OIDAP, and our agenda is there.

2 Just a reminder that the OIDAP is a Panel
3 chartered by SSA to provide independent advice and
4 recommendations on the development of an
5 Occupational Information System for SSA's
6 disability adjudicative needs. We are not the
7 entity actually developing the OIS. SSA is the one
8 that is developing the Occupational Information
9 System.

10 Just a reminder before we go through the
11 agenda that under the Federal Advisory Committee
12 Act there are three binding principles or roles for
13 us. Our role is advisory, that's the first. The
14 second is our role is independent. Our third is
15 that our process is transparent and open. That is
16 when we deliberate as an entire Panel, such as we
17 are doing today, our meetings are open to the
18 public. And as special government employees we
19 have access to prepositional documents, such as the
20 content model synthesis document that we reviewed
21 at our last quarterly meeting in Boston. That is
22 confidential and not open to the public, because it

1 is a ways from being finished.

2 I would like to make an announcement
3 regarding some changes to the Panel. As I
4 announced to the Panel a few weeks ago, Dr. Mark
5 Wilson has resigned from OIDAP. He is missed.
6 Gratefully, Dr. Allan Hunt has accepted my request
7 to take over the leadership of the Research
8 Subcommittee as its new chair. Thank you Allan for
9 saying "yes."

10 Now to review the agenda for today. I
11 will provide a very short Chair's report. This
12 will be followed by a report by Sylvia Karman, the
13 Project Director. Allan will deliver the Research
14 Subcommittee report.

15 Bob Fraser was going to deliver the report
16 for the User Needs and Relations Subcommittee. If he
17 is not on the call, I will go ahead and deliver that.

18 Shanan Gibson will provide the overview of
19 the public comment received into the OIDAP's proposed
20 recommendation on OIS planning. We will deliberate
21 and vote on the recommendation. And lastly, we will
22 review the Minutes from the September 1st and 2nd

1 fourth quarterly meeting for fiscal year 2010 in
2 Boston; and also our last teleconference meeting
3 before adjourning.

4 Now, on to my Chair's report. We will be
5 meeting in Baltimore in a couple weeks. So I will
6 try to keep this short. Our meeting with
7 Commissioner that was scheduled for the 29th of
8 October was postponed until we are in Baltimore on
9 the 7th of December, because of illness.

10 The Commissioner sent me a very nice e-mail
11 last week and indicated that he is looking forward to
12 our meeting in Baltimore. He will also be present
13 for the public meeting on the 8th for the entire
14 Panel.

15 I know that SSA has been working hard on
16 the OIS Research and Development Plan referenced by
17 our proposed recommendation today. Because of the
18 nature and status of that plan we're going to be
19 undergoing a strategic planning process during our
20 fact finding in Baltimore. This will assist us in
21 our advisory relationship with SSA. Then we can
22 launch into more formal strategic planning, probably

1 at our March quarterly meeting as that plan unfolds.

2 And the hotel for Baltimore, Debra, can you
3 maybe provide that information. I know that was just
4 finalized in the last few days

5 MS. KARMAN: Mary, she stepped out for a
6 minute.

7 DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Okay. I will provide
8 that information at the end of the meeting.

9 And lastly, Debra sent a scan request to
10 the Panel for meeting dates for next year, and I
11 would ask all Panel members to respond to that scan
12 so we can start scheduling the 2011 meetings for
13 the Panel. So that's it for my report. More
14 detail in Baltimore.

15 And Sylvia, if you would give us our
16 Project Director's report.

17 MS. KARMAN: All right. Good morning,
18 everyone.

19 So we have -- as of October 1st, Richard
20 Balkus, the Associate Commissioner for the Office
21 of Program Development and Research announced the
22 establishment of a new unit in his office dedicated

1 to the OIS development. The Occupational
2 Information Development Project team has become the
3 Office of Vocational Resources Development.

4 Our new office, or OVRD, is charged with
5 directing and conducting research and development
6 of SSA's OIS. OVRD consist of two branches. One
7 dedicated to research, design, and development,
8 scientific standards, testing; data collection,
9 data analysis. The other branch is dedicated to
10 program integration to ensure that the OIS is
11 developed in a way that meets SSA's legal, program,
12 and operational needs.

13 The next item on my agenda here is we
14 will be meeting again with the OIS development
15 workgroup. The workgroup has been out of session
16 since September and will resume its work today,
17 this afternoon with a briefing on the status of the
18 project, our organization, and future activities
19 for the workgroup.

20 Also, with regard to our occupational
21 vocational claims review study, we are in the
22 process of completing our quality reviews of

1 initial level cases.

2 When these reviews are complete we will
3 conduct our analysis of the full, initial level
4 sample and report those results. We are also
5 finalizing the data collection instrument that we
6 will use for review of our hearings level samples
7 of cases. We expect to pilot test this instrument
8 in December and begin the review of over 1100
9 hearings level cases in January 2011.

10 We -- the next item that I have here is
11 on our OIS Research and Development Plan. We have
12 completed an initial draft of the OIS Research and
13 Development Plan, which includes a business
14 process. This plan is currently under review
15 within OPDR. While we also conduct some of the
16 initial work needed to further develop other
17 portions of the Plan, for example, tasks, time
18 frames, research questions, resources, the Plan
19 will continue to be a work-in-progress to develop
20 and conduct our research activities that were
21 already underway, that is.

22 Content model development. We are

1 developing a draft methodology, including
2 identification of all key sources for data element
3 identification and possible content analysis,
4 tools, and techniques.

5 And then, finally, we have a blanket
6 purchase agreement with regard to job analysis. In
7 late September, SSA awarded a blanket purchase
8 agreement to ICF International, Incorporated for
9 services to provide research on various
10 methodologies for conducting job analysis, and an
11 overview of business processes for training,
12 recruiting, and certifying job analysts.

13 We expect to receive the draft report on
14 training, recruiting, and certifying job analysts
15 in late March; and a literature review and
16 benchmarking on job analyses across various
17 disciplines in early June.

18 Are there any questions?

19 DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Okay. I do have a
20 couple comments, I think. I think it's -- the
21 development of the Office of Vocational Resources
22 Development is probably a really good indication. I

1 know that our general recommendation number four for
2 our report in September of last year recommended
3 that an internal unit be developed.

4 I think that the development of OVRD
5 shows the commitment and the need for the
6 development of the unit that includes both
7 scientific staff and programmatic staff moving
8 forward. That bolts well.

9 In terms of the content model, I know
10 this is the heart of the project; and we had quite
11 a bit of discussion about it in Boston. And you
12 indicated that you guys were looking at the
13 methodology -- or documenting the methodology
14 within this. Therefore, I think that ensuring that
15 the content model was developed using the
16 scientific method, as we will document and bolts
17 well, and is sound, is important. It is more
18 important to do it right, than doing it quickly.
19 So thank you.

20 DR. HUNT: I have a question this is,
21 Allan. Back to the OVRD. Research, design, and
22 development, presumably is the scientific side; and

1 the program integration, obviously, would be the
2 programmatic side. Do you have leadership in mind
3 for those offices? Are those open recruitments?

4 MS. KARMAN: Allan, this is Sylvia. Right
5 now we have not posted those jobs. So I have not
6 done that yet, no.

7 DR. HUNT: And will they be staffed from
8 within existing staff on the project?

9 MS. KARMAN: Currently, yes. We have two
10 members of our team who have stepped up to, you
11 know, take on the leadership for leading the two
12 teams until such time as we have the management
13 positions in place.

14 DR. HUNT: Great.

15 Just for clarification, so that means
16 that sort of interim?

17 MS. KARMAN: Yes. In the interim we
18 have -- two of our staff have stepped up, yes.

19 DR. HUNT: Thanks.

20 MR. HARDY: Sylvia, I had a quick
21 question. This is Tom Hardy.

22 Had you gotten any reports, or

1 preliminary things back from ICF at this point?

2 MS. KARMAN: We have met with ICF a number
3 of times already. I think the staff has done that.
4 We have not received any preliminary work. They
5 have just begun.

6 MR. HARDY: Okay.

7 DR. HUNT: This is Allan again. I am
8 familiar with this firm. I actually did a project
9 with them several years ago. But just, roughly
10 speaking, without getting too specific, what kind of
11 experience do they have with job analysis?

12 MS. KARMAN: They have a number of
13 individuals on their team, including the vice
14 president, who have background in IO psychology and
15 work analysis.

16 DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Okay. Are there any
17 other questions?

18 Thank you, Sylvia. Allan.

19 DR. HUNT: All right. Thank you,
20 everybody, for the confidence you are showing in me.
21 I hope to be worthy of it. I want to start by
22 specifically saying that since I do not have Mark's

1 skills and job analysis and cognate fields, I will
2 count on having everyone's help to make an effective
3 team that we can move forward on the broad front.

4 Then I want to say that I want to start
5 by looking ahead, not looking back, and
6 concentrating on the challenges we face. My
7 opinion, and yet to be confirmed with other
8 subcommittee members, is that the best way we can
9 contribute is by finding a way to provide research
10 advice and specific input earlier in the process.
11 And I'm hoping that that is going to be possible,
12 given the resolution of the standing of OIDAP
13 members in terms of their ability to participate
14 before everything is public.

15 So I'm planning to work with Sylvia
16 specifically on finding a way to do that, so that
17 the members of the Research Subcommittee would have
18 an opportunity to comment on, and, perhaps, provide
19 input before decisions are made, and things are
20 virtually finalized.

21 I'm looking for better communication and
22 better coordination between the Panel and SSA staff

1 specifically on research issues. Obviously, that's
2 been a difficulty in a couple of places in the
3 past. I hope that we can undo some of the damage
4 and start forward again. And my real objective is
5 to build an attitude of mutual trust and respect,
6 specifically between the Research Subcommittee and
7 SSA; but it applies, I think, broadly to the whole
8 relationship as well.

9 Given that we are this morning going to
10 vote, I think, on the recommendation for OIS
11 planning, it really feels like we are at a critical
12 juncture, even though we're two years out. And
13 while remembering that this project truly belongs
14 to SSA, and not to the OIDAP, my promise is that we
15 will work as a Research Subcommittee to do our best
16 to provide a positive and informative contribution
17 as we move forward. And I look forward to your
18 help in making that a reality starting in Baltimore
19 in December. Thank you.

20 DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Okay, Allan. Thank
21 you.

22 Does anybody have any questions for

1 Allan?

2 Okay. And I know Bob is not on the line
3 yet, so I will go ahead and just give a summary of
4 the User Needs and Relations Subcommittee.

5 We have met since the last quarterly
6 three times; at the quarterly meeting,
7 September 1st, and again on September 6th --
8 excuse me, October 6th, and October 20th.

9 We completed the -- or Shanan completed
10 the summary report in terms of the public comment
11 for the content model and classification
12 recommendation report. We were going to deliver
13 that to the Commissioner when we met with him. We
14 will have that bound and delivered to him on the
15 7th of December.

16 We had also discussed how to handle
17 public comment as it comes in. There was a web
18 site for another FACA Committee that we looked at
19 under Health and Human Services where they
20 basically put up all of their public comment. We
21 were looking at that as a model for the future
22 moving forward.

1 In terms of the completion -- or the
2 proposed recommendation number eight, we had
3 discussed -- we didn't know what kind of public
4 comments we would have. We only had three formal
5 comments through regulations.gov and didn't really
6 need to summarize those as we did the first public
7 comment period that we went through.

8 And since the close of the June 30th
9 regulation public comment on the content model
10 report, I think we only had one e-mail come in, and
11 I think it was actually kind of an inquiry as to
12 whether we had considered a couple of questions.
13 The discussion raised from that e-mail led us to
14 believe we should probably put a FAQ, frequently
15 asked questions page to our web site that we will
16 be discussing.

17 And in terms of future activities, we
18 will probably be addressing that with SSA as they
19 develop their plan -- their R & D plan, and now
20 have the formal OVRD set up will probably need to
21 do their own outreach; and so we will need to work
22 together with them in terms of looking at other

1 responsibilities of each entity, and how we can
2 work together and forward to meet the issues of
3 transparency and accountability, and to also engage
4 the public in our process as much as possible under
5 our FACA duties.

6 So any questions at all?

7 Okay. Shanan, you are on. Public
8 comment review and discussion for the proposed
9 recommendation number eight.

10 DR. GIBSON: I thought I would begin this
11 for those listening in by actually reading the
12 proposed recommendation. So for those of you who
13 have it in front of you, bear with us. For those
14 who do not, here we go.

15 This is a proposed recommendation to the
16 Social Security Administration for Occupational
17 Information System Development planning. In
18 keeping with its charge to provide independent
19 advice and guidance on plans and activities to
20 replace the Dictionary of Occupational Titles in
21 disability adjudicative decisions, and the
22 development of a new Occupational Information

1 System that will help the Social Security
2 Administration meet its burden of proof, is
3 forensically defensible, reflects all work
4 nationally and links residual functional capacity
5 and requirements of work, the Panel strongly
6 recommends that SSA; one, take the immediate steps
7 to develop an overarching project plan and timeline
8 that specifies SSA's needs and objectives with
9 regard to occupational information.

10 Two, develop a fully articulated research
11 plan and associated processes that provides for the
12 coordination of necessary scientific research and
13 allows for the incorporation of findings and
14 results, as appropriate.

15 Three, prepare and make available to the
16 Panel the overall project plan, including the
17 attendant research plans for advice and
18 recommendation before further development
19 activities for the OIS proceeds.

20 And four, make public the aforementioned
21 project and research plans, thus delineating how
22 SSA plans to proceed in its efforts to develop said

1 OIS.

2 The project plan should include
3 scientific and programmatic justification for SSA's
4 efforts going forth, as well as identification of
5 the criteria that will ultimately be utilized to
6 assess the performance of any new OIS system.

7 To fulfill the requirements of the
8 aforementioned project plan, SSA must also develop
9 and make public a scientifically sound research
10 plan that addresses the needs delineated by the
11 project plan and that will guide the entire OIS
12 development process. To meet users' needs,
13 maintain stakeholder confidence, and ensure legal
14 defensibility, it is critical that all intended
15 research protocols be developed with oversight by
16 internal scientists well-versed in research
17 methods, along with programmatic specialists and be
18 reviewed by the Panel prior to data collection.

19 Examples of issues that should be
20 addressed by the recommended research plan include,
21 but are not limited to, how to develop a content
22 model that is legally defensible and possesses

1 strong evidence of validity to determine the
2 appropriate sampling methodology for pilot testing
3 of all instruments; how to develop a job analysis
4 tool that will be utilized for collecting
5 occupational information, including appropriate
6 scales, methods of data collection, sources of
7 data, et cetera, and so on.

8 The Panel recognizes that any plan that
9 is developed will be necessarily dynamic as new
10 information and data may inform future steps.
11 However, this does not negate the need for a
12 published plan that is scrutinized for scientific
13 rigor and adequacy.

14 In conclusion, the Panel wishes to
15 emphasize that to achieve the goal of a legally
16 defensible OIS, rigorous scientific methods must be
17 utilized. The original recommendations, and
18 associated subcommittee reports identified numerous
19 empirical studies that should be conducted as part
20 of the process of developing a new OIS. The Agency
21 should examine these recommendations and identify
22 the proposed studies that meet the requirements of

1 good science, and SSA's disability program law and
2 regulations for coordination into the project, and
3 research plans going forth.

4 In addition, SSA should coordinate
5 existing efforts that meet -- I'm sorry. In
6 addition, SSA should coordinate existing efforts
7 that meet the requirements of good science and SSA
8 disability program law and regulations into the
9 project and research plans going forth.

10 And that is directly from the Federal
11 register request for comments. In response to this
12 request for comments we received three postings.
13 And for those interested in actually seeing the
14 comments received, I would recommend you visit
15 www.regulations.gov where they are visible.

16 Of the three that was received, one was
17 moderately unrelated to the actual recommendation
18 at hand for program planning. Another was directly
19 supportive of our efforts towards directing the
20 need for project planning and scientific
21 methodologies as part of the project plan. And I
22 would say the third actually gave advice on where

1 to look as we develop the project plan and research
2 science associated with it.

3 So based on that I feel like the Panel
4 has received actually very good positive feedback
5 toward the adoption of -- the adoption of this as a
6 formal recommendation to be put forth to SSA; and I
7 will leave it at that for now.

8 DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Okay. Deliberation by
9 the Panel. Any comments. Any -- as we review this
10 recommendation, any thoughts in terms of the public
11 comment that we received that would impact the
12 recommendation?

13 DR. HUNT: This is Allan. Excuse me, I
14 have a question just in terms of procedure.
15 Particularly with the California Department of
16 Employment Security, or whatever.

17 DR. BARROS-BAILEY: The EDD, yes.

18 DR. HUNT: Would we typically respond to
19 that?

20 DR. BARROS-BAILEY: That's a great
21 question.

22 DR. HUNT: It begs for a response, but I

1 wanted to know how and who.

2 DR. BARROS-BAILEY: I think that might be
3 something we can pass on maybe to User Needs and
4 Relations. We continue to get these kinds of
5 thoughts or comments. People, you know, maybe who
6 haven't read our findings report on the National
7 Academy of Science that really look at the
8 difference between purpose and function; and a
9 cometric versus ergonomic design of an
10 occupational system who might not understand that
11 the data is not usable for disability determination,
12 disability adjudication.

13 So maybe that's something we can tackle
14 within User Needs. I think it becomes quite
15 confusing, because for too many people data is not
16 tangible; and it is not readily evident that the
17 purpose and function is different. And, you know,
18 for other people it's, you know, kind of like
19 saying well, you know, a chair and a bed are
20 functionally different, but they are both pieces of
21 furniture. And it is not so evident why it's
22 different. So I might recommend passing it on to

1 user needs to take a look at.

2 DR. HUNT: Yes, I just feel an obligation
3 to respond to this sort of inquiry, because it's,
4 obviously, meant to be helpful; and it's -- the fact
5 that it doesn't appreciate all the facts that we
6 have digested, you know, gives us a chance to do
7 some public education, even if it's a government
8 Agency.

9 DR. BARROS-BAILEY: And I think it might
10 be -- that response kind of begs the questions that
11 I think could also be included as part of the FAQ.

12 DR. HUNT: Yeah, good point.

13 MR. HARDY: Can I make a comment, Mary.
14 This is Tom Hardy.

15 I'm on User Needs. We have bantered
16 about a couple times now responding, not responding
17 to input. I think it raises the larger question,
18 because if we respond to some and not to others
19 that may not be the best procedure to follow. I
20 think we should talk about it further in User
21 Needs. But I'm a little worried about picking and
22 choosing who we will enter into dialogue with

1 versus who we will not enter into dialogue with. I
2 think that raises questions that can be very
3 problematic for us.

4 DR. PANTER: This is Abigail. I just
5 think that --

6 MS. KARMAN: Abigail, we are having
7 trouble hearing you.

8 DR. PANTER: I think that FAQ's could be
9 very helpful for general misconceptions about the
10 process.

11 MR. HARDY: Yes.

12 DR. BARROS-BAILEY: So. And I think,
13 also, you know, who the responsible party is. This
14 might actually be a better question for SSA to
15 tackle other -- rather than the OIDAP. Although,
16 we, obviously, have a report out there that answers
17 the inherent questions arising out of this public
18 comment. But I think maybe if we talk about it at
19 User Needs, and then see how we can address this, I
20 think that would be a great idea.

21 Okay. Any other comment or question in
22 terms of the public comment, or the proposed

1 recommendation?

2 Okay. Hearing none, I will entertain a
3 motion to accept proposed recommendation number
4 eight on OIS planning as read by Shanan Gibson.

5 MR. HARDY: This is Tom Hardy, and I so
6 move.

7 DR. BARROS-BAILEY: I have a motion by
8 Tom. Second by.

9 DR. GIBSON: Shanan Gibson.

10 DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Shanan. Okay. And
11 because we are voting telephonically I will ask
12 Debra Tidwell-Peters to poll the members in terms of
13 their vote.

14 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Okay. I'm here,
15 Mary.

16 Shanan Gibson.

17 DR. GIBSON: Hi -- yes -- yay.

18 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Thomas Hardy.

19 MR. HARDY: I vote in the affirmative.

20 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Janine Holloman.

21 MS. HOLLOMAN: I vote affirmative.

22 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Allan Hunt.

1 DR. HUNT: Aye.

2 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Sylvia Karman.

3 MS. KARMAN: Aye.

4 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Deborah Lechner.

5 Okay.

6 Abigail Panter.

7 DR. PANTER: Aye.

8 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: David Schretlen.

9 DR. SCHRETLEN: Aye.

10 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: And just to confirm,
11 Robert Fraser is not on the line, correct?

12 So I have --

13 DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Okay. And just to
14 confirm, is Deb on the line?

15 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: No, she is not.

16 DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Okay. So of the
17 members in quorum, it sounds like we have an
18 unanimous vote; and proposed recommendation number
19 eight becomes full recommendation number eight from
20 OIDAP to Social Security that would be delivered to
21 the Commissioner.

22 Since we are -- did David vote?

1 DR. SCHRETLEN: Yes. And I voted in the
2 affirmative.

3 DR. BARROS-BAILEY: So since we are still
4 voting, let's go ahead and address the two sets of
5 Minutes. We have a set of Minutes from the
6 September 1st and 2nd OIDAP meeting in Boston.
7 So, first, let's go ahead and I will entertain a
8 motion to accept those; and then we will deliberate
9 on any changes to those. So any motion from the
10 floor to accept the Minutes of September 1st and
11 2nd.

12 MR. HARDY: This is Tom Hardy. I make a
13 motion to accept the Minutes.

14 DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Okay. Do we have a
15 second?

16 MS. HOLLOMAN: This is Janine, I will
17 second.

18 DR. BARROS-BAILEY: I didn't catch who
19 that was. I am sorry.

20 MS. HOLLOMAN: Janine.

21 DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Janine, okay. So a
22 second.

1 Any discussion on the Minutes from
2 September 1st and 2nd?

3 MR. HARDY: I just want to go on the
4 record that I did, in fact, send some minor changes
5 to Debra Tidwell regarding grammar and punctuation.
6 Those went in this morning. I don't think they
7 materially changed the Minutes in any way.

8 DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Okay.

9 MS. LECHNER: Mary.

10 DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Yes.

11 MS. LECHNER: This is Deborah Lechner. I
12 accidentally disconnected myself during the vote. I
13 was trying to unmute my phone. I just want to let
14 you know I am back.

15 DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Okay. We are in the
16 middle of voting on the recommendations for the
17 September 1st and 2nd Minutes. Let's go ahead and
18 finalize this vote, and then we will double back on
19 the other.

20 So any other discussions or comments on
21 the September 1st and 2nd Minutes for OIDAP?

22 Okay. Hearing none, Debra, can I ask you

1 to take a poll in terms of a vote.

2 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Yes. Shanan Gibson.

3 DR. GIBSON: I approve.

4 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Thomas Hardy.

5 MR. HARDY: Approve.

6 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Janine Holloman.

7 MS. HOLLOMAN: Approved.

8 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Allan Hunt.

9 DR. HUNT: Approved.

10 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Sylvia Karman.

11 MS. KARMAN: Approve.

12 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Deb Lechner.

13 MS. LECHNER: Approve.

14 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Abigail Panter.

15 DR. PANTER: Approve.

16 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: And David Schretlen.

17 DR. SCHRETLEN: Abstain.

18 DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Okay. Thank you. And

19 David, I understand you weren't at that meeting, and

20 your abstention there.

21 So the Minutes for September 1st and

22 2nd of our fourth quarterly meeting held in

1 Boston have been approved.

2 To double back, Deborah, I don't know at
3 what point you fell off of the call, but we were --
4 did you fall off when we were voting?

5 MS. LECHNER: Yes.

6 DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Okay. Did you want to
7 register your vote?

8 MS. LECHNER: Yes.

9 DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Okay. Debra, if you
10 would go ahead and register Deb's vote.

11 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: And Deborah, this is
12 the vote on the recommendation number eight to SSA.
13 And your vote is?

14 MS. LECHNER: Accept.

15 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Thank you.

16 DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Thank you, Deb.

17 So the vote remains unanimous. All the
18 members in quorum at today's meeting.

19 Then the last thing that we have to vote
20 on are the Minutes from our last teleconference
21 held on September 29th where we started the
22 discussion on the proposed recommendation. So I

1 will take a motion from the floor to accept the
2 Minutes.

3 DR. HUNT: This is Allan. So moved.

4 DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Okay. Do I have a
5 second?

6 DR. PANTER: Second.

7 DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Who was that?

8 DR. PANTER: Abigail.

9 DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Abigail; sorry. Okay.
10 So we have a second from Abigail.

11 Any discussion on these Minutes?

12 Okay. The only modification that I have
13 to the Minutes, it says "Panel members present,"
14 and Gunnar was actually present. The moderator for
15 the teleconference didn't notice that he was in the
16 wrong conference room; and so he was in the listen
17 only room. So I think we may need to reflect that
18 he was actually present at the teleconference.

19 Okay. Any other comments or discussions
20 on this for the Minutes? Okay.

21 Deborah, if you would record the vote on
22 this as well.

1 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Okay. Shanan Gibson.

2 DR. GIBSON: Accept.

3 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Thomas Hardy.

4 MR. HARDY: Accept.

5 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Janine Holloman.

6 MS. HOLLOMAN: Accept.

7 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Allan Hunt.

8 DR. HUNT: Accept.

9 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Sylvia Karman.

10 MS. KARMAN: Accept.

11 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Deborah Lechner.

12 MS. LECHNER: Accept.

13 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Abigail Panter.

14 DR. PANTER: Accept.

15 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: David Schretlen.

16 DR. SCHRETLEN: Accept.

17 DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Then the Minutes for
18 the last teleconference, September 29th have been
19 approved with modification.

20 And I think we are at the end of our
21 administrative meeting and our teleconference. We
22 will be meeting again in Baltimore, September --

1 excuse me, December 7th, 8th, that week; and the
2 agenda will be going out probably in the next
3 couple weeks, out to everybody.

4 Just to let you know that the hotel
5 location is the Radisson Plaza Lord Baltimore at
6 20 West Baltimore Street in Baltimore, Maryland.
7 And information will be going out on that as well.

8 Any other discussion from the agenda?

9 Okay. Hearing none, I would like to
10 thank you, everybody, for being present today. I
11 would like to wish you a Happy Thanksgiving. And
12 we will see each other in a couple weeks in
13 Baltimore.

14 So I will turn the meeting over to Debra
15 Tidwell-Peters who will adjourn the meeting for us.

16 MS. TIDWELL-PETERS: Thank you. Hearing
17 no more discussion from the Panel, this
18 teleconference meeting is now adjourned. Thank you.

19 DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Thank you.

20 (Whereupon, at 10:44 a.m., the
21 proceedings were adjourned.)
22

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

1
2
3 I, Stella R. Christian, A Certified
4 Shorthand Reporter, do hereby certify that I was
5 authorized to and did report in stenotype notes the
6 foregoing proceedings, and that thereafter my
7 stenotype notes were reduced to typewriting under
8 my supervision.

9 I further certify that the transcript of
10 proceedings contains a true and correct transcript
11 of my stenotype notes taken therein to the best of
12 my ability and knowledge.

13 SIGNED this 22nd day of November, 2010.

14
15 _____
16 STELLA R. CHRISTIAN
17
18
19
20
21
22