Table 2.-Percentage distribution of beneficiary families by monthly amount of family benefit in current-payment status at end of 1949, for each specified family group in receipt of benefits
[Based on 20 -percent sample; average benefits shown to the nearest 10 cents; data corrected to May 23, 1950]

| Monthly family benefit amount | Retired worker only |  | Fetired worker and wife | Retired worker 1 child | Amed widow | Widowed mother and children |  |  | Chitaren only |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Fernale |  |  |  | 1 child | $\stackrel{2}{\text { chilurent }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \text { or } \\ \text { more } \\ \text { chidren } \end{gathered}$ | 1 child | $\stackrel{2}{\text { children }^{2}}$ | $\stackrel{3}{\text { children }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \text { or } \\ \text { more } \\ \text { children } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total number ${ }^{1}$ | 686, 600 | 185, 700 | 390.300 | 15,000 | 261, 200 | 78,300 | 44,200 | 26, 200 | 105, 800 | 48,600 | 19,000 | 24,500 |
| Total percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Less than $\$ 10.00$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{2} .09$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{3} 3.7$ |  |  |  |
| 10.00-14.99 | 412.1 | ${ }^{5} 27.7$ |  |  | 15.6 | 4. 0 |  |  | 65.1 | 10.3 | ${ }^{3} 0.7$ | ${ }_{3} 0.1$ |
| 15.00-19.99 | 7.6 | 14.8 | 68.8 | ${ }^{1} 6.7$ | 30. 1 | 3. 4 | 4. 1 | ${ }^{3} 0.1$ | 26.4 34 | 7.6 | 10.1 | ${ }^{3} \cdot 2$ |
| 20.00-24.99 | 24.3 | 31.9 | 4.1 4.5 | 4.4 4.9 | 15.2 | 4.5 14.6 | 2.8 | 7.6 3.1 | ${ }^{3} 4.8$ | 23.9 23.9 | 5.19 | 11.5 4.8 |
| $25.00-29.99$ $30.00-34.99$ | 22.7 16.1 | $\begin{array}{r}17.1 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 4.5 13.4 | 4. 9 14.8 | ${ }^{1} 11.1$ | 14.6 18.2 | 2.6 3.2 | 3.1 |  | 23.9 20.9 | 5.9 17.7 | 4.88 |
| 35.00-39.99 | 10.2 | 1.9 | 15.9 | 18.9 |  | 16.4 | 9.3 | 3.8 |  | 9.3 | 18.5 | 5.2 |
| 40.00-44.99 | 6.5 | 1.1 | 14.0 | 15.1 |  | 16.4 | 12.7 | 7.3 |  | 4.1 | 15.0 | 8.3 |
| 45.00-49.99 | 10.5 | (10) (11) | 12.1 | 12.9 |  | 10.8 | 13.3 | 12.4 |  | 10.1 | 13.6 | 14.0 |
| 50.00-54.99 |  |  | 9.9 | 9. 4 |  | 8.7 | 11.9 | 12. 5 |  |  | 8.2 | 13.0 |
| $55.00-59.99$ | - --- | -------- | 7.3 5.9 | 6.5 3.9 |  | 123.1 | 13.7 9.9 | 10.5 |  |  | 3. 1.6 | 10.7 |
| $60.00-64.99$ $6500-6999$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{13} 3.6$ |  |  | 9. 7.0 | 10.6 |  |  | 1.6 13 | 8.1 |
| $65.00-69.99$ 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.7 | 7.0 |  |  |  | 5.1 |
| 75.00-79.99 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{1} 3.9$ | 4. 8 |  |  |  | 3.1 |
| 80.00-84.99. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3. 2 |  |  |  | 1.3 |
| 85.00 ....- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.5 |  |  |  | 1.1 |
| - Average monthly amount per fam | \$26.50 | \$20.60 | \$41.40 | \$40. 70 | \$20.80 | \$36.50 | \$50.40 | \$54.00 | \$13. 50 | \$26.60 | \$37. 50 | \$49.60 |

[^0]65.2 percent at $\$ 15$ minimum.
-3.6 percent at $\$ 15$ minimum.
\$22.60 maximum possible in 1949 .
$\$ 22.60$ maximum possible in 1949.
$\$ 33.90$ maximum possible in 1010.
" $\$ 33.90$ maximum possible in 1019 .
${ }^{1} \$ 45.20$ maximum possible in 1949 .

1) $\$ 45.20$ maximum possib
1: Less than 0.05 percent.
$12 \$ 56.50$ maximum possible in 1949.
13 \$67.80 maximum possible in 1949.
is $\$ 2.10$ maximum possible in 1949 .
1949. More than 42 percent of the men and 74 percent of the women were receiving benefits of less than $\$ 25$, as compared with 54 and 84 percent, respectively, 5 years earlier. Only 3 percent of the women and 19 percent of the men were receiving benefits of $\$ 35$ or more at the end of 1949.

## Applicants for Account Numbers, 1949

The $2,340,000$ employee accounts established in 1949 brought the cumulative number of accounts established by the end of that year to $94,635,000$ (table 1). The 1949 total was the smallest for any year since the progrem began; it represented a drop from 1948 of 14 percent, the steepest year-to-year decline since 1945, when the number of accounts established fell by 27 percent.

The conditions resulting in the decreases during the two years, 1945 and 1949, were quite different. In 1945, as a resull of the heavy volume of account-number applications received
during the initial war years, 1941-43, the labor-force reserve without account numbers was small, and therefore the number of new workers applying for account numbers was also small. The marked decline in 1949, on the other hand, is attributable mainly to the relative scarcity of job opportunities for new entrants into the labor market.

The number of accounts established in 1949 declined at about the same rate for both men and women.

Account numbers issued to men dropped 15 percent to $1,113,000$, as the downtrend that began in 1942 was resumed; this decline was interrupted only in 1948 when a peak level of business activity resulted in an unusually large seasonal expansion of job opportunities during April-September. The 1,226,000 account numbers issued to women in 1949 represented a $13-$ percent drop from 1948 and were only about a fourth of the wartime peak of 4.5 million established in 1943.

Table 1.-Number of applicants for account numbers and the cumulative number as of the end of each period, by sex and by year, 1940-49
[Iu thousands]

| Period | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total during period | Cumula- <br> tive total <br> as of end of <br> period | Total dur- | Cumulative total as of end of period | Total during period | Cumulative total as ofend of period |
| 1940 | 5,227 | 54, 225 | 9,030 | 87,942 | 2.147 | 16, 853 |
| 1911 | 6, 6,5 | (0), 903 | 3, 302 | 41, 194 | 2.976 | 19, 859 |
| 1942 | 7,638 | 18.042 | 3. ${ }^{\text {atx }}$ | 44. 692 | 4,09 | 21,949 |
| 193 | 7.4.6 | 85.98 | 2, 0 \% | ${ }^{47,196}$ | 5 | 29, 3181 |
| 1945 | 4 | 8 8, 825 | 1, 514 | \%n, 82 | 1,817 | 32,997 |
| 1945 | 4, 2.22 | 96.847 | 1.492 | 5. 950 | 1,599 | 34,587 |
| 1947 | 2,724 | 99.85 | 1,299 | 53,49 | 1,429 | 36, 1036 |
| 1945 | 2.720 | 92.295 | 1, 0105 | 54, 364 | 1,415 | 37,451 |
| 1949 | 2,34 | 94,035 | 1,113 | 55,977 | 1,229 | 38,6.57 |

Table 2.-Distribution of applicants for account numbers by race, age group, and sex, by year, 1940-49 1

| Year | Total |  |  | Negro |  |  | Under 20 years |  |  | 20 years and over ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Wale | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| 1940. | 5, 226, 688 | 3, 080, 032 | 2,146,656 | 630, 337 | 413,984 | 216,353 | 2, 137, 542 | 1,264, 299 | 873, 243 | 3, 089, 146 | 1, 815, 733 | 1, 273,413 |
| 1941 | 6, 677, 584 | 3, 701, 467 | 2, 976, 117 | 786, 668 | 508. 979 | 277, 689 | 3, 174, 241 | 1, 885, 858 | 1, 288, 383 | 3, 503, 343 | 1, 815, 609 | 1, 687, 734 |
| 1942 | 7, 637, 416 | 3, 547, 376 | 4. 090. 040 | 905, 238 | 457, 145 | 448.093 | 3, 720, 6818 | 2, 113,325 | 1, 707, 338 | 3, 916, 753 | 1, 534, 051 | 2, 382,702 |
| 1943 | 7, 415, 294 | 2, 901, 273 | 4, 514, 021 | 1, 058, 178 | 355, 341 | 702, 837 | 3, 649, 172 | 1, 835, 939 | 1, 813, 233 | 3, 766, 122 | 1,065.334 | 2, 700.788 |
| 1944 | 4, 528,578 | 1, 826, 179 | 2, 702, 399 | 738, 739 | 253, 197 | 485, 542 | 2. 444, 905 | 1, 213, 002 | 1,231,993 | 2,083, 583 | 613, 177 | 1,470,406 |
| 1946 | $3,321,384$ $3,022,057$ | $1,505,839$ $1,431,760$ | $1,815,545$ $1,590,297$ | 504,321 388,489 | 195,313 185,709 | 309,008 202,780 | 1, 851, 854 | 922, 562 | 929, 292 | 1,460, 530 | 583.277 | 886,253 736,833 |
| 1947. | 2, 727, 810 | 1, 299, 092 | 1, 428, 718 | 314, 788 | 154, 975 | 109,813 | 1, 21020237 | 801, 092 | 819.145 | 1, 107, 573 | 681,964 498.000 | 736,833 609,573 |
| 1948 | 2, 719, 642 | 1,304, 625 | 1, 415, 017 | 309,790 | 150,628 | 159, 162 | 1, 770, 613 | 912, 189 | 858, 424 | 1,949, 029 | 342, 436 | 556, 593 |
| 1949. | 2,339, 502 | 1,113, 006 | 1, 226, 496 | 259, 620 | 125, 342 | 134, 278 | 1,518, 152 | 773, 289 | 744, 863 | 821,350 | 339,717 | 481,633 |

1 Excludes applicants whose sex and/or race is unknown.
${ }^{2}$ Includes a small number of applicants of unknown age.

Table 3.-Distribution of account-number applicants under 20 years of age, by sex and age, 1949 and 1948

| Age | Tutal |  |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1949 | 1948 | Percentage change | 1949 | 1948 | Percentage change | 1949 | 1948 | Percentage change |
| Under 20, total.. | 1,518,152 | 1,770,613 | -14.3 | 773,289 | 912,189 | -15.2 | 744, 863 | 858, 424 | -13.2 |
| Under 14. | 44,607 | 62,520 | -28.7 | 34, 385 | 48,117 | -28.5 | 10, 222 | 14, 409 | -29.1 |
| 14. | 97,143 | 127, 299 | $-23.7$ | 61,409 | 80, 040 | -23.3 | 35, 734 | 47, 259 | $-24.4$ |
| 15 | 206,925 | 254, 110 | -18.6 | -115,916 | 142,775 | -18.8 | 91,009 | 111,335 | -18.3 |
| 16 | 410,417 | 503,697 | -18.5 | 199,309 | 250, 130 | -20.3 | 211, 108 | 253, 567 | $-16.7$ |
| 17 | 354, 992 | 390.606 | -9.1 | 174,050 | 192. 237 | $-9.5$ | 180,942 | 198, 369 | $-8.8$ |
| 18 | 265,678 138,390 | 239,970 142,405 | -8.4 | 123, 678 | 132,674 | $-6.8$ | 142,000 | 157, 296 | $-9.7$ |
|  | 138,390 | 142,405 | -2.8 | 64, 342 | 60, 216 | -2.5 | 73,848 | 76,189 | -3.1 |

Men comprised 48 percent of all applicants in 1949, the same proportion as in each of the preceding 2 years.

Although in 1949 the number of applications for account numbers declined for each of the age groups, the decline was relatively heavy in the age groups under 20 , especially in ages under 17 (table 3). Accounts issued to persons under 20 years of age dropped 14 percent to $1,518,000$, after registering increases of 1.2 and 9.3 percent, respectively, in 1947 and 1948. The number of applicants aged 20 and over, on the other hand, declined 13 percent, as against corresponding declines of 22 percent in 1947 and 14 percent in 1948. The decreases were particularly small among men aged 25-49 during the AprilSeptember quarters. During this 6 -month period the number of male applicants aged $25-49$ declined only 6 percent from 1948, as against a decline of 18 percent for those under 20 years of age. The corresponding declines for women in these respective age groups were 10 and 15 percent.

During 1949, social security account numbers were issued to 50,000 persons aged 60 and over, 23 percent
fewer than in 1948 . Only 2.1 percent of all applicants were in this older age group, the smallest proportion on record. Although more men than women aged 60 and over applied for account numbers, the proportion of women among applicants in this age group increased to slightly more than 40 percent, as against 39 and 38 percent, respectively, in 1948 and 1947.

The absolute and relative numbers of Negro applicants, both of which have followed a downward course since 1944 , declined still further in
1949. The 260,000 applications received from Negroes represented a drop of 16 percent from 1948 . Negroes formed only 11 percent of all applicants-a proportion slightly smaller than in the preceding year but considerably less than the 14 and 16 percent in 1943 and 1944, when the wartime demand for labor enabled many Negroes ordinarily in noncovered employment to shift to covered employment. Men and women shared about equally in the decline.

Table 4.-Distribution of applicants for account numbers by sex, race, and age group, 1949

| Age group | Total |  |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | White 1 | Negro | Total | White ${ }^{1}$ | Negro | Total | White ${ }^{1}$ | Negro |
| Total | 2, 339,502 | 2, 074, 882 | 259,620 | 1,113,006 | 987, 664 | 125, 342 | 1, 226,405 | 1,092,218 | 134,278 |
| Under 15 | 141, 750 | 127,912 | 13,838 | 95,794 | 84, 842 | 10,952 | 45,956 | 43,070 | 2,886 |
| 15-19. | 1,376,402 | 1,238,305 | 138,097 | 677, 495 | 600, 306 | 77,189 | 698, 907 | 657,909 | 60. 908 |
| $20 \cdot 39$ | 509,856 | 428, 193 | 81, 663 | 217, 727 | 190,371 | 27,356 | 292, 129 | 237,822 | 51.307 |
| 40-59 | 261, 201 | 238, 420 | 22,781 | 91,982 | 84, 167 | 7,815 | 169, 219 | 154,253 | 14.966 |
| $60-64$ | 27, 201 | 25,560 | 1,641 | 14, 111 | 13,181 | 930 | 13,090 | 12.379 | 711 |
| 65-69 | 13, 954 | 12,944 | 1,010 | 8,752 | 8,110 | 642 | 5, 202 | 4,834 | 368 |
| 70 and over | 8,768 | 8,241 | 527 | 6, 834 | 6, 410 | 424 | 1,934 | 1.831 | 103 |
| Unknown | 370 | $3 \%$ | 133 | 311 | 277 | 34 | 59 | 30 | (2) |

[^1]
[^0]:    Families with retired worker, wife, and child; with retired worker and 2 or more children; with widowed mother only; or with 1 or 2 aged parents not shown more children; with widowed m
    because too few cases in sample.

    2 Widow's benefit reduced to less than $\$ 10$ by primary benefit to which widow was concurrently entitled.
    ${ }_{3}$ Family benefit is less than minimum amount because 1 or more additional family members were entitled to benefits that wore being witheld at end of 1949. 45.6 percent at $\$ 10$ minimum.
    3.5 .2 percent at $\$ 10$ minimum.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Represents all races other than Negro.

