## Table 2.-Percentage distribution of beneficiary families by monthly amount of family benefit in current-payment status at end of 1949, for each specified family group in receipt of benefits

Monthly family benefit amount	Retired worker only		Retired	Retired	Aged	Wido	wed mothe children	er and		Child	Children only			
	Male	Female	worker and wife	and 1 child	widow	1 ehild	2 children	3 or more children	1 ehild	2 children	3 children	4 or more children		
Total number 1	686, 600	185, 700	390, 300	15, 000	261, 200	78, 300	44, 200	26, 200	105, 800	48, 600	19, 000	24, 500		
Total percent	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Less than \$10.00. 10.00-14.99 15.00-19.99. 20.00-24.99. 25.00-29.99. 30.00-34.99. 40.00-44.99. 40.00-44.99. 50.00-54.99. 50.00-54.99. 50.00-54.99. 50.00-54.99. 50.00-54.99. 50.00-54.99. 50.00-54.99. 50.00-54.99. 50.00-69.9	4 12. 1 7. 6 24. 3 22. 7 16. 1 10. 2 6. 5 10. 5				2.09 15.6 30.1 127.2 15.2 9 11.1		4.1 2.8 2.6 3.2 9.3 12.7 13.3 11.9 13.7 9.9 7.0 5.7 143.9	30.1 7.6 3.1 4.0 3.8 7.3 12.4 12.5 10.5 10.5 10.6 7.0 4.8 3.2 2.5				$\begin{array}{c} 3 & 0.1 \\ 3 & 0.1 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 1.5 \\ 4 & 8 \\ 4 & 8 \\ 5 & 2 \\ 8 & 3 \\ 1 & 4 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 7 \\ 8 & 8 \\ 8 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array}$		
Average monthly amount per family	\$26.50	\$20.60	\$41.40	\$40.70	\$20.80	\$36.50	\$50.40	\$54.00	\$13.50	\$26.60	\$37.50	\$49.60		

[Based on 20-percent sample; average benefits shown to the nearest 10 cents; data corrected to May 23, 1950]

' Families with retired worker, wife, and child; with retired worker and 2 or more children; with widowed mother only; or with 1 or 2 aged parents not shown because too few cases in sample. <sup>2</sup> Widow's benefit reduced to less than \$10 by primary benefit to which widow was concurrently entitled.

<sup>3</sup> Family benefit is less than minimum amount because 1 or more additional

family members were entitled to benefits that were being withheld at end of 1949. 5.6 percent at \$10 minimum.

5 13.2 percent at \$10 minimum

1949. More than 42 percent of the men and 74 percent of the women were receiving benefits of less than \$25, as compared with 54 and 84 percent, respectively, 5 years earlier. Only 3 percent of the women and 19 percent of the men were receiving benefits of \$35 or more at the end of 1949.

## **Applicants for Account** Numbers. 1949

The 2.340,000 employee accounts established in 1949 brought the cumulative number of accounts established by the end of that year to 94,635,000 (table 1). The 1949 total was the smallest for any year since the program began; it represented a drop from 1948 of 14 percent, the steepest year-to-year decline since 1945, when the number of accounts established fell by 27 percent.

The conditions resulting in the decreases during the two years, 1945 and 1949, were quite different. In 1945, as a result of the heavy volume of account-number applications received

11 \$79.10 maximum possible in 1949. during the initial war years, 1941-43. the labor-force reserve without account numbers was small, and therefore the number of new workers applying for account numbers was also small. The marked decline in 1949, on the other hand, is attributable mainly to the relative scarcity of

<sup>6</sup> 5.2 percent at \$15 minimum.

3.6 percent at \$15 minimum.

<sup>11</sup> Less than 0.05 percent

\$22.60 maximum possible in 1949. \$33.90 maximum possible in 1949. 9 \$45.20 maximum possible in 1949.

12 \$56.50 maximum possible in 1949. 13 \$67.80 maximum possible in 1949.

into the labor market. The number of accounts established in 1949 declined at about the same rate for both men and women.

job opportunities for new entrants

Account numbers issued to men dropped 15 percent to 1,113,000, as the downtrend that began in 1942 was resumed; this decline was interrupted only in 1948 when a peak level of business activity resulted in an unusually large seasonal expansion of job opportunities during April-September. The 1.226,000 account numbers issued to women in 1949 represented a 13percent drop from 1948 and were only about a fourth of the wartime peak of 4.5 million established in 1943.

Table 1.—Number of applicants for account numbers and the cumulative number as of the end of each period, by sex and by year, 1940-49

In	thousands]	

	Те	rtal	М	ale	Female		
Period	Total dur- ing period	Cumula- tive total as of end of period	Total dur- ing period	Cumula- tive total as of end of period	Total dur- ing period	Cumula- tive total as of end of period	
1940	5, 2276, 6787, 6387, 4264, 5373, 5213, 6222, 7282, 7282, 7202, 340	$\begin{array}{c} 54,225\\60,903\\68,541\\75,967\\80,504\\83,825\\86,847\\89,575\\92,295\\94,635\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3,080\\ 3,702\\ 3,548\\ 2,001\\ 1,828\\ 1,504\\ 1,452\\ 1,209\\ 1,305\\ 1,113\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 37, 342\\ 41, 044\\ 44, 592\\ 47, 406\\ 49, 324\\ 50, 828\\ 52, 260\\ 53, 559\\ 54, 864\\ 55, 977\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2, 147\\ 2, 076\\ 4, 090\\ 4, 592\\ 2, 709\\ 1, 817\\ 1, 590\\ 1, 429\\ 1, 415\\ 1, 226\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16, 853\\ 19, 859\\ 23, 949\\ 28, 471\\ 31, 180\\ 32, 997\\ 34, 587\\ 36, 010\\ 37, 431\\ 38, 657\end{array}$	

Table 2.—Distribution of applicants for account numbers by race, age group, and sex, by year, 1940-49 1

Year	Total			Negro			υ	'nde <mark>r 2</mark> 0 yea	rs	20 years and over $^2$		
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
1940.       1941.       1942.       1943.       1944.       1945.       1946.       1947.       1948.       1949.	$\begin{array}{c} 6, 677, 584 \\ 7, 637, 416 \\ 7, 415, 294 \\ 4, 528, 578 \\ 3, 321, 384 \\ 3, 022, 057 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3,080,032\\ 3,701,467\\ 3,547,376\\ 2,901,273\\ 1,826,179\\ 1,505,839\\ 1,431,760\\ 1,299,092\\ 1,304,625\\ 1,113,006 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{2, 146, 656} \\ \textbf{2, 976, 117} \\ \textbf{4, 090, 040} \\ \textbf{4, 514, 021} \\ \textbf{2, 702, 399} \\ \textbf{1, 815, 545} \\ \textbf{1, 590, 297} \\ \textbf{1, 425, 718} \\ \textbf{1, 415, 017} \\ \textbf{1, 226, 496} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 630,337\\ 786,668\\ 905,238\\ 1,058,178\\ 738,739\\ 504,321\\ 388,489\\ 314,788\\ 309,790\\ 259,620 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 413, 984\\ 508, 979\\ 457, 145\\ 355, 341\\ 253, 197\\ 195, 313\\ 185, 709\\ 154, 975\\ 150, 628\\ 125, 342 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 216,353\\ 277,689\\ 448,093\\ 702,837\\ 485,542\\ 309,008\\ 202,780\\ 159,813\\ 159,162\\ 134,278\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,137,542\\ 3,174,241\\ 3,720,663\\ 3,649,172\\ 2,444,995\\ 1,851,854\\ 1,600,260\\ 1,620,237\\ 1,770,613\\ 1,518,152 \end{array}$	1, 264, 299 1, 885, 858 2, 013, 325 1, 835, 939 1, 213, 002 922, 562 746, 796 801, 092 912, 189 773, 289	$\begin{array}{r} 873,243\\ 1,288,383\\ 1,707,338\\ 1,813,233\\ 1,231,993\\ 929,292\\ 853,464\\ 819,145\\ 858,424\\ 744,863\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3,089,146\\ 3,503,343\\ 3,916,753\\ 3,766,122\\ 2,083,583\\ 1,469,530\\ 1,421,797\\ 1,107,573\\ 949,029\\ 821,350 \end{array}$	1, 815, 733 1, 815, 609 1, 534, 051 1, 065, 334 613, 177 583, 277 684, 964 498, 000 392, 436 339, 717	$\begin{array}{c} 1,273,41\\ 1,687,73\\ 2,382,70\\ 2,700,78\\ 1,470,40\\ 886,25\\ 736,83\\ 609,57\\ 556,59\\ 481,63\end{array}$

Excludes applicants whose sex and/or race is unknown,

<sup>2</sup> Includes a small number of applicants of unknown age.

Table 3.—Distribution of account-number applicants under 20 years of age, by sex and age, 1949 and 1948

		Total			Male		Female		
Age	1949	1948	Percentage change	1949	1948	Percentage change	1949	1948	Percentage change
Under 20, total	1, 518, 152	1, 770, 613	-14.3	773, 289	912, 189	-15.2	744, 863	858, 424	-13.2
Under 14 14 15 16 17 18 19	$\begin{array}{r} 44,607\\97,143\\206,925\\410,417\\354,992\\265,678\\138,390\end{array}$	62, 526 127, 299 254, 110 503, 697 390, 606 289, 970 142, 405	$\begin{array}{r} -28.7 \\ -23.7 \\ -18.6 \\ -18.5 \\ -9.1 \\ -8.4 \\ -2.8 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 34, 385\\ 61, 409\\ 115, 916\\ 199, 309\\ 174, 050\\ 123, 678\\ 64, 542\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 48,117\\ 80,040\\ 142,775\\ 250,130\\ 192,237\\ 132,674\\ 66,216\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} -28.5 \\ -23.3 \\ -18.8 \\ -20.3 \\ -9.5 \\ -6.8 \\ -2.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 10,222\\35,734\\91,009\\211,108\\180,942\\142,000\\73,848\end{array}$	14, 409 47, 259 111, 335 253, 567 198, 369 157, 296 76, 189	$\begin{array}{c} -29.1 \\ -24.4 \\ -18.3 \\ -16.7 \\ -8.8 \\ -9.7 \\ -3.1 \end{array}$

Men comprised 48 percent of all applicants in 1949, the same proportion as in each of the preceding 2 years.

Although in 1949 the number of applications for account numbers declined for each of the age groups, the decline was relatively heavy in the age groups under 20, especially in ages under 17 (table 3). Accounts issued to persons under 20 years of age dropped 14 percent to 1,518,000, after registering increases of 1.2 and 9.3 percent, respectively, in 1947 and 1948. The number of applicants aged 20 and over, on the other hand. declined 13 percent, as against corresponding declines of 22 percent in 1947 and 14 percent in 1948. The decreases were particularly small among men aged 25-49 during the April-September quarters. During this 6-month period the number of male applicants aged 25-49 declined only 6 percent from 1948, as against a decline of 18 percent for those under 20 years of age. The corresponding declines for women in these respective age groups were 10 and 15 percent.

During 1949, social security account numbers were issued to 50,000 persons aged 60 and over, 23 percent fewer than in 1948. Only 2.1 percent of all applicants were in this older age group, the smallest proportion on record. Although more men than women aged 60 and over applied for account numbers, the proportion of women among applicants in this age group increased to slightly more than 40 percent, as against 39 and 38 percent, respectively. in 1948 and 1947.

The absolute and relative numbers of Negro applicants, both of which have followed a downward course since 1944, declined still further in 1949. The 260,000 applications received from Negroes represented a drop of 16 percent from 1948. Negroes formed only 11 percent of all applicants—a proportion slightly smaller than in the preceding year but considerably less than the 14 and 16 percent in 1943 and 1944, when the wartime demand for labor enabled many Negroes ordinarily in noncovered employment to shift to covered employment. Men and women shared about equally in the decline.

Table 4.—Distribution of applicants for account numbers by sex, race, and age group, 1949

Age group		Total			Male		Female			
	Total	White 1	Negro	Total	White <sup>1</sup>	Negro	Total	White 1	Negro	
Total	2, 339, 502	2, 079, 882	259, 620	1, 113, 006	987, 664	125, 342	1, 226, 496	1, 092, 218	134, 278	
Under 15 15-19 20-39	$141,750 \\1,376,402 \\509,856$	$127,912 \\1,238,305 \\428,193$	$\begin{array}{r} 13,838\\ 138,097\\ 81,663 \end{array}$	95, 794 677, 495 217, 727	84, 842 600, 306 190, 371	10, 952 77, 189 27, 356	45, 956 698, 907 292, 129	$\begin{array}{r} 43,070\\657,999\\237,822\end{array}$	2,886 60,908 54,307	
40-59 60-64 65-69 70 and over	$261, 201 \\ 27, 201 \\ 13, 954 \\ 769$	238,420 25,560 12,944 2541	22,781 1,641 1,010	$91,982 \\ 14,111 \\ 8,752 \\ 6,824$	$84,167 \\ 13,181 \\ 8,110 \\ 6,410$	7,815 930 642	169, 219 13, 090 5, 202 1, 024	$154,253 \\ 12,379 \\ 4,834 \\ 1,831$	14, 966 711 368	
Unknown	8, 768 370	8, 241 307	$527 \\ 63$		6,410 277	$   424 \\   34 $	$1,934 \\ 59$	$1,831 \\ 30$	103 21	

<sup>1</sup> Represents all races other than Negro.