particular it must not be a special-purpose unit. This definition excludes school districts, special utility districts, library districts, and agencies of local governments, even though these agencies may be relatively autonomous."2 Private organizations and nongovernmental agencies or such other governmental units as fire districts may request and receive revenue sharing funds from State and local governments if the laws of the latter permit such transfers.

Any recipient government may waive its right to participate in the revenue sharing program. one entitlement period at a time, on an irrevocable basis. Funds thus waived will be added to the entitlement of the next highest eligible unit of government in the State. In the unlikely event that the waiving government is a State, the funds revert to the Federal Government.

ACTUAL EXPENDITURES

Approximately 32,700 of the 38,000 governmental units receiving general revenue sharing funds reported on their actual (as opposed to planned) use of these funds through the end of fiscal year 1973. Of the \$6.6 billion disbursed in revenue sharing-including payments retroactive to January 1, 1972—States and localities reported expenditures totaling \$2.8 billion, or 42.5 percent of the total.3 Table 2 on page 28 of this issue gives a breakdown of the actual uses of the \$2.8 billion by function.

Although no permissible expenditure category was totally neglected, the bulk of the funds went for education, public safety, and public transportation, in that order. State governments alone made 65 percent of their revenue sharing expenditures in the education area.

Two-thirds of the reported expenditures were for operation and maintenance, and only one-third were for capital projects, contrary to the planned-

² Joint Committee on Internal Revenue Taxation, General Explanation of the State and Local Fiscal Assistance Act, February 12, 1973, page 36, as quoted from What

ury, Office of Revenue Sharing), page 6. ⁸ All data on actual expenditures are taken from David A. Caputo and Richard L. Cole, Revenue Sharing: The First Actual Use Reports (prepared for the Office of Revenue Sharing, Department of the Treasury), March

is General Revenue Sharing! (Department of the Treas-

1, 1974.

use reports for entitlement periods 3 and 4. State governments alone used 94 percent of their funds for operation and maintenance expenditures. New services, which accounted for 20 percent of all reported revenue sharing expenditures, were highest in education (39 percent), recreation and culture (25 percent), and financial administration (25 percent). More than 44 percent of all units of government reported that revenue sharing had reduced taxes or avoided tax increases, and onethird reported that these funds had either avoided or lessened debt increases.

The widespread use of revenue sharing funds for tax reduction does have some far-reaching implications. Under the allocation formula, States and localities that reduce taxes or reduce their tax effort receive a smaller slice of the available general revenue sharing funds. In addition, as some economists have noted, reduction of certain taxes as opposed to others can have an unfavorable effect on the objectives of revenue sharing itself: "The redistributive goal of revenue sharing can be thwarted if States and localities use the receipts to reduce their most progressive

Effect of OASDI Benefit Increases, 1974*

Amendments to the Social Security Act passed in December 1973 provided for an 11-percent increase in monthly cash benefits under the oldage, survivors, disability, and health insurance program. The law specified that the increase would be payable in two parts-7 percent to be effective in March 1974 and 4 percent in June 1974.

MARCH INCREASE

The checks for the March benefit, delivered to beneficiaries during the first week of April. reflected the first installment of the increase. The monthly benefit amounts as of the end of February and those after the 7-percent increase

SOCIAL SECURITY 38

^{*}Edward R. Fried et al. Setting National Priorities: The 1974 Budget, Brookings Institution, 1973, page 276.

^{*} Prepared by Barbara A. Lingg, Division of OASDI Statistics, Office of Research and Statistics.

are shown in table 1. The new rates meant an additional \$313 million a month in benefits for the 29.9 million beneficiaries on the rolls at the end of February.

The rise in the benefit rates brought the average benefit amount for retired workers up to \$179.25—an increase of \$12.21. For disabled workers, the average amount rose to \$196.40—\$12.95 more than the February amount. The average increase in benefits for entitled dependents of these workers ranged from \$3.63 for the children of disabled workers to \$6.50 for the spouses of retired workers. Among survivor beneficiaries, the benefit increases averaged highest for non-disabled widows and widowers (\$11.80) and lowest for children of deceased workers (\$7.90).

Although the December legislation specified a 7-percent across-the-board increase in benefits, the actual increase was somewhat higher than that for persons receiving benefits actuarially reduced because they were claimed before age 65. This additional increase resulted from the fact that the 7-percent rise was applied to the beneficiary's "primary" or basic benefit amount; it was thus larger than it would have been if calculated on his reduced benefit amount and more than 7 percent of his benefit payment under the old rate. The greater-than-7-percent rise for persons with reduced benefits who were aged 65 or older by March 1974 reflected their receipt of the full amount of the calculated increase, since no reduction for the months before age 65 was necessary. But even for those with reduced benefits

who were under age 65 and thus had a reduction in the amount of their increase for the months before they would reach age 65, the March benefit was more than 7 percent higher than the benefit amount under the old rate.

Because the number of actuarially reduced benefits is large, the overall increase in benefits payments amounted to 7.3 percent. The beneficiary group with the largest percentage increase was the group subject to the largest actuarial reduction—disabled widows and widowers.

JUNE INCREASE

June benefit checks, delivered to beneficiaries in the first week of July 1974 included the second installment of the 11-percent benefit increase authorized by the December 1973 legislation. This increase was intended to raise benefits to 11 percent above the pre-March 1974 levels—that is, 4 percent in addition to the 7-percent increase for March benefits. In terms of the higher post-March 1974 levels, the rise was only 3.7 percent.

For the 30.1 million beneficiaries on the rolls at the end of May 1974, the data in table 2 show the total and average monthly benefit amounts both before and after the second increase. For these individuals, the new rates meant an additional \$179 million a month in benefits.

The higher rate raised the average monthly benefit amount for retired workers to \$204.45 for

Table 1.—Monthly cash benefits in current-payment status at end of February 1974 and under new rates effective March 1974, and percentage increase, by type of beneficiary

Type of beneficiary	Total number	Total benefit amount (in thousands)		Average benefit amount		Percentage
		Old rate	New rate	Old rate	New rate	increase
All beneficiaries	29,885,537	\$4,290,658	\$4,603,839			7 3
Retired workers and dependents	2,793,001	2,851,339 2,576,486 237,384 37,469	3,060,373 2,764,733 256,542 40,098	\$167 04 84 99 61 47	\$179 25 91 49 65 78	7.3 7.3 7.6 7.0
Disabled workers and dependents Disabled workers Wives and husbands Children	2,027,249	451,971 371,889 21,107 58,975	484,023 398,153 22,657 63,213	183 45 55 45 50 54	196 40 59 52 54 17	7.1 7 1 7 3 7 2
Survivors of deceased workers. Widowed mothers. Children. Widows and widowers, nondisabled. Widows and widowers, disabled. Parents.	562,271 2,886,920 3,584,645	967,571 66,456 323,663 565,131 8,895 3,426	1,038,267 71,134 346,445 607,417 9,604 3,667	118 19 112 11 157 65 111 23 140,78	126, 51 120 01 169 45 120 10 150 67	73 70 70 75 80 70
"Special age-72" beneficiaries Primary Wives	339,599	19,777 19,602 175	21,176 20,988 188	57 72 28 98	61.80 31.07	7 1 7.1 7.2

Table 2.—Monthly cash benefits in current-payment status at end of May 1974 and under new rates effective June 1974 and average increase, by type of beneficiary, sex, and reduction status

Type of beneficiary, sex, and reduction status	Total number	Total benefit amount (in thousands)		Average benefit amount		Average benefit increase	
		Old rate	New rate	Old rate	New rate	Percent	Amount
All beneficiaries	30,071,124	\$4,638,452	\$4,817,334			3 9	
Retired workers and dependents Retired workers Men. Benefits actuarially reduced Benefits not actuarially reduced Benefits actuarially reduced Benefits actuarially reduced Benefits not actuarially reduced Wives and husbands Benefits actuarially reduced Benefits not actuarially reduced Children Disabled workers and dependents	15,486,996 8,650,307 3,899,897 4,750,410 6,836,389 4,382,507 2,453,882 2,792,015 1,836,413 955,602 622,662	3,075,873 2,779,128 1,703,213 693,904 1,009,309 1,075,915 643,911 432,004 255,383 161,192 94,191 41,362	3,195,010 2,886,449 1,768,587 721,641 1,046,946 1,117,862 689,783 448,079 266,691 167,999 97,693 42,870	\$179 46 196 90 177 93 212,47 157 38 146 93 176 05 91 47 87 78 98 57 66 43	\$186 38 204 45 186 04 220 39 163 52 152 83 182 60 95 16 91 48 102,23 68 85	3 9 3 8 3 8 3 7 3 7 3 9 4 0 3 7 4 2 3 7 3 6	3 69 3 70
Disabled workers. Men. Women. Wows and husbands. Children	2,076,608 1,453,140	409,170 306,989 102,181 22,968 64,994	424,484 318,493 105,991 23,862 67,443	197 05 211 26 163 90 59 26 54 12	204 41 219 18 170 00 61 57 56 15	3 7 3 7 3 7 3 9 3 8	7,36 7 92 6 10 2,31 2 03
Survivors of deceased workers	556,866 2,912,342 3,596,011 1,773,004 1,823,007 83,576	1,045,114 70,200 350,821 610,450 294,782 315,668 10,038 3,605	1,085,451 72,878 363,785 634,489 307,499 10,462 3,737	126 06 120 46 169 76 166 26 173 16 120 10 151 06	130 87 124 91 176 47 173 22 179 63 125 18 156 63	3 9 3.8 3 7 4 0 4.2 3 7	5 08
"Special age-72" beneficiaries Primary Wives	326,113	20,333 20,154 179	21,083 20,898 185	61 80 31 07	64 08 32.17	3.7 3 7 3.5	2 28 1,10

men (up \$7.55) and to \$163.52 for women (\$6.14 more). Among disabled workers, average benefits rose to \$219.18 for men and to \$170.00 for women—increases of \$7.92 and \$6.10, respectively. The average benefit rise for the entitled dependents of these workers ranged from \$2.03 for the children of disabled workers to \$3.69 for the wives and husbands of retired workers. Among the survivors of deceased workers, average benefit increases were highest for nondisabled widows and widowers (\$6.71) and lowest for children (\$4.45).

Since the benefit increases for persons with actuarially reduced benefits were calculated on the basic benefit amount before reduction, the new benefit amounts for these beneficiaries are more than 3.7 percent higher than the amounts before the increase—both for persons who had reached age 65 before June 1974 and for those still under age 65.

For retired workers with unreduced benefits, the June increase in benefit amount was 3.7 percent; it was 4 percent for retired workers with reduced benefits. Similarly, among nondisabled widows and widowers, the benefit increase was 3.7 percent for those without benefit reductions

and 4.2 percent for those whose benefits have been reduced.

The overall rate of increase in the average benefit amounted to 3.9 percent. This rate reflects the large number of benefits actuarially reduced at award.

Social Security Act Amendments, 1974

On August 7, 1974, the President signed Public Law 93-368, containing amendments that affect supplementary security income (SSI), Medicare, and social security coverage provisions. Public Law 93-335, signed July 6, 1974, extended and changed food stamp provisions for SSI recipients.

Cost-of-living increases for persons receiving SSI payments.—The August legislation provides for automatic increases in SSI payment levels (and income limitations) whenever automatic increases in social security cash benefits occur. The same percentages will apply to increases for both programs, and increases for both are