(CPT) manual.<sup>13</sup> When two or more surgical procedures appear on a bill the first listed surgical procedure is coded. If, however, the first listed procedure is primarily diagnostic in nature—such as an endoscopic procedure or biopsy—coding preference is given to more serious procedures such as resections, removals, repairs, etc. The CPT defines surgery to include procedures involving incision, excision, amputation, introduction, endoscopy, repair, destruction, suture, manipulation, and surgical-collapse therapy.

### Sampling Variability

Since the mean length-of-stay data in this study are based on discharges for a sample of enrolled persons, they may differ somewhat from the figures that would have been obtained from the entire universe of enrolled persons.

The standard error is primarily a measure of sampling variability—that is, of the variations that occur by chance because a sample rather than the whole universe was used. The chances are about 68 out of 100 that an estimate from

<sup>13</sup> Current Procedural Terminology (First Edition), American Medical Association, 1966. the sample would differ from the result for the entire universe by less than the standard error; about 95 out of 100 that the difference would be less than twice the standard error; and about 99 out of 100 that the difference would be less than two and one-half times the standard error. This applies equally to sample estimates of differences.

Table  $I^{14}$  shows the differences found between the mean length of stay in the Northeast and the West  $(M_1 - M_2)$  for the estimates shown in table 4. For example, the mean length of stay for discharges with cataract for patients aged 65-74 was 8.0 days in the Northeast and 6.5 days in the West. That is,  $M_1 - M_2 = 8.0 - 6.5 = 1.5$ .

Table I also shows the approximate standard errors of the differences in mean length of stay in the Northeast and the West— $SE_{(M_1 - M_2)}$ —for the selected conditions. The value (Z) of the ratio of  $(M_1 - M_2)$  to  $SE_{(M_1 - M_2)}$  is also given in table I. A Z value of 1.96 or greater can be interpreted to mean that the difference between the mean length of stay in the Northeast and the West is significant at the 95-percent confidence level.

## Notes and Brief Reports

# Automatic Increases Under the Social Security Programs\*

OASDHI benefit increase.—As the result of legislation enacted in 1972 and 1973, the first automatic cost-of-living increase in cash benefits

The previous 11-percent increase in OASDHI benefits effective for June 1974 was legislated (P.L. 93-233) by Congress on the basis of an estimated change in the cost of living from the third quarter of 1972 to the second quarter of 1974. In enacting this increase, Congress specified that the 11-percent increase would be regarded as a cost-of-living change, rather than a general benefit change, for purposes of implementing future automatic cost-of-living provisions of the law.

under the old-age, survivors, disability, and health insurance (OASDHI) program, went into effect June 1, 1975. The increase, reflected in the July 3 checks, is 8.0 percent. It applies to all beneficiaries on the rolls except those receiving benefits under the special minimum-benefit provision.

The cost-of-living benefit increase reflects the change in the Consumer Price Index (CPI) of the Bureau of Labor Statistics from the second quarter of 1974 to the first quarter of 1975. The 8.0-percent rise was determined by dividing the average of the CPI for January, February, and March of 1975 by the average of the CPI for April, May, and June 1974 (the effective quarter of the previous increase—11 percent—in OASDHI benefits).

The next automatic cost-of-living benefit increase will be based on the increase in the CPI from the first quarter of 1975 (if there is no statutory benefit increase) to the first quarter of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Prepared with the assistance of Nathaniel M Pigman, Jr., of the Mathematical Statistical Group of the Division of Health Insurance Studies

<sup>\*</sup>Prepared by Albert Rettig, Division of Retirement and Survivor Studies, Office of Research and Statistics.

¹P.L 92-336 (signed July 1, 1972), P.L. 92-603 (signed October 30, 1972), and P.L 93-233 (signed December 31, 1973).

1976. If the increase in the CPI is 3 percent or more, then the level of OASDHI benefits will be increased by the same percentage as the CPI increase, effective June 1976.

Other automatic provisions.—The cost-of-living benefit increase is the last of the three automatic escalator provisions provided by the 1972 and 1973 legislation to become operative. The other two automatic provisions involved the contribution and benefit base and the amount of earnings permitted OASDHI beneficiaries under the retirement test without a reduction in benefits. Under these two provisions, the increase was measured by the increase in average taxable wages (as reported under the social security program) for all employees from the first quarter of 1973 to the first quarter of 1974.

The first determination under these provisions was made in the fall of 1974 and went into effect at the beginning of calendar year 1975. Under this determination, the contribution and benefit base was raised from \$13,200 in 1974 to \$14,100

in 1975 and the annual exempt amount of earnings under the retirement test went from \$2,400 in 1974 to \$2,520 in 1975. The monthly exempt amount of earnings was raised from \$200 in 1974 to \$210 in 1975.

The law provides that a determination as to future automatic increases in the earnings base and exempt amount is to be made in any year when a cost-of-living benefit increase is established. The next determination will therefore be made in the fall of 1975, to be effective January 1, 1976, on the basis of the increase in wages from the first quarter of 1974 to the first quarter of 1975 (if there is no legislated increase). The earnings base will not be raised if the percentage increase in wages yields a 1976 earnings base of less than \$14,250; the exempt amount will not be increased if the percentage increase in wages yields a 1976 monthly exempt amount of less than \$215.

Table 1 shows the amount of monthly family benefit effective June 1975 for selected types of family groups. Monthly benefits are based on a

Table 1.—Examples of monthly cash benefit awards to selected beneficiary families under the Social Security Act, effective for June 1975

Beneficiary family	Average monthly earnings of insured worker														
	\$76 or less	\$100	\$200	\$250	\$300	\$350	\$400	\$450	\$,550	\$650	<b>\$</b> 750	\$900	\$1,000	\$1,100	\$1,175
Worker with spouse	\$101 40	\$130 50	\$185. <b>2</b> 0	\$209.70	\$231.60	\$255 <b>.</b> 80	<b>\$279.8</b> 0	\$300 50	\$345 90	\$396,90	\$425 <b>0</b> 0	\$461 00	\$485,00	<b>\$</b> 50 <b>6.6</b> 0	\$522.80
claiming benefits at— Aged 65 or over Age 62 Worker, wife, and 1 child	152 10 139 50 152,10	195 80 179 50 195.80	277.80 254 70 277.80	314.60 288.40 320.60	347 40 318 50 379.90	383.70 351.80 444.50	419 70 384 80 510.50	450.80 413 30 561.00	518.90 475.70 626.20	595.40 545.80 694.60	637.50 584 40 743 80	691.50 633.90 806 80	727.50 666.90 848.70	759.90 696.60 886.50	784.20 718.90 914.80
Retired worker claiming benefits at age 62 Worker alone Worker with spouse claiming benefits at— Aged 65 or over	81 20 131 90 119 30	104 40 169 70 153 40	148 20 240 80 217.70	167.80 272.70 246 50	185.30 301.10 272 20	204 70 332.60 300.70	223.90 363.80 328 90	240.40 390 70 353 20	276 80 449 80 406.60	317.60 516.10 466.50	340.00 552.50 499 40	368 80 599 30 541.70	388.00 630 50 569.90	405.30 658 60 595.30	418.30 679.70 614.40
Widow claiming benefits at— Aged 65 or over 1  Age 60  Disabled widow claiming	101.40 2 74 90	130 50 93 40	185.20 132 50	209 70 150 00	231 60 165.60	255 80 182 90	279 80 200 10	300 50 214.90	345.90 247.40	396 90 283 80	425 00 303 90	461.00 329 70	485.00 346.80	506.60 362 30	522.80 373.90
benefits at age 50 1 surviving child. Widow aged 65 and over and 1 child 1. Widowed mother and 1 child 1. Widowed mother and 2 children. Maximum family benefits.	256 80 2101.40 152 10	65 40 2101 40 195.80	92.70 138 90 277.80	105.00 157.30 320.60 314 60	115 90 173.70 379 90 347.40	128.00 191.90 444 50 383.80	140.00 209.90 489.70 419.80	150 30 225.40 525.90 450.80	173 10 259.50 605.40 519.00	198.50 297.70 694 60 595.40	212 60 318.80 743.80 637.60	230.60 345 80 806.80 691.60	242.60 363.80 848.70 727.60	253.40 380.00 886.50 760.00	261.50 392.10 914.80 784.20
	152.10 152.10 152.10	195 80 195 80 195 80	277 80 277.80 277.80	320 60 320.60	379 90 379.90	444 50 444.50	510 50 510.50	561.00	626.20 626.20	694.60 694.60	743 80 743 80	806.80	848.70 848.70	886.50 886.50	914.80 914.80

¹ Widow's benefit limited to amount husband would have been receiving if still living but not less than 82 1/2 percent of the PIA ² Sole survivor

years, when the maximum amount creditable was lower, must be included in the average, Therefore, the benefit amounts shown in these columns are not generally payable until later, (At the beginning of 1976, the highest average monthly earnings possible is \$585 for a male worker retiring at age 65 and \$613 for a woman.)

Note. The higher monthly earnings shown in column headings in the right are not, in general, possible now, since earnings in some of the earlier

primary insurance amount (PIA) given in the benefit table in the law: the PIA is derived from the worker's average monthly earnings (AME). Whenever a cost-of-living benefit increase becomes effective, the new PIA is calculated by increasing the old PIA by the same percentage as the costof-living increase. If the contribution and benefit base has been raised, the benefit formula provides an additional 20-percent replacement on the part of the AME above the previous contribution and benefit base. With the 8.0-percent cost-of-living benefit increase for June 1975, the PIA is now based approximately on the following seven-part formula:

129 48% of the first \$110 of AME, plus 47.10% of the next \$290 of AME, plus 44 01% of the next \$150 of AME, plus 51.73% of the next \$100 of AME, plus 28 77% of the next \$100 of AME, plus 23 98% of the next \$250 of AME, plus 21.60% of the next \$175 of AME, subject to a minimum PIA of \$101.40 for AME's of \$76 or less.

The maximum family benefit effective for June 1975 is based approximately on the following formula:

For AME's under \$628 the maximum amount is equivalent to: 126.6% of the first \$436 of AME, plus 633% of the next \$191 of AME, subject to a minimum of 150% of PIA For AME's of \$628 or more, the maximum is 1.75 times the PIA.

Table 2 shows the minimum and maximum old-age monthly benefit payable at the time of retirement to individuals retiring at age 65 in the years 1940-76. Also shown in the table are the benefits payable to these individuals for June 1975.

(Because of a technical error in the law, one of the effects of the automatic benefit increase will not be felt. This is the provision that any increase in the monthly premium rate for the supplementary medical insurance (SMI) cannot exceed the percentage by which OASDHI cash benefits in effect for June 1975 increased above the level for June 1974. The technical error arose out of the fact that the current law also provides that the SMI premium increase effective July 1975 must be set in December 1974—a time when the automatic cost-of-living increase for June 1975 could not possibly be known. As a consequence, the \$6.70 SMI monthly premium rate for the fiscal year 1975 will remain at the present

Table 2.—Minimum and maximum monthly old-age benefits payable to individuals who retired at age 65, 1940-76

	Minimu	m benefit	Maximum benefit							
Year of attain- ment of age 65 1	Payable at the time of	Payable effective		t the time ement	Payable effective June 1975					
	retire- ment	June 1975	Men 2	Women	Men 2	Women				
1940 1941 1942 1943 1944 1945	\$10 00 10 00 10 00 10 00 10 00 10 00	\$101 40 101 40 101 40 101 40 101 40 101 40	\$41 20 41 60 42 00 42 40 42 80 43 20		\$196 20 196 20 198 60 198 60 200 70 200 70					
1946 1947 1948 1949 1950	10 00 10 00 10 00 10 00 10 00	101 40 101 40 101 40 101 40 101 40	43 60 44 00 44 40 41 80 45 20		203 20 205 10 205 10 207 10 209 70					
1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956	20 00 20 00 25 00 25 00 30 00 30 00	101 40 101 40 101 40 101 40 101 40 101 40	68 50 68 50 85 00 85 00 98 50 103 50		209 70 209 70 231 60 231 60 231 60 244 79					
1957 1958 1959 1960 1961	30 00 30 00 33 00 33 00 33 00	101 40 101 40 101 40 101 40 101 40	108 50 108 50 116 00 119 00 120 00		255 80 255 80 255 80 262 30 264 30					
1962 1963 1964 1965 1966	40 00 40 00 40 00 44 00 44 00	101 40 101 40 101 40 101 40 101 40	121 00 122 00 123 00 131 70 132 70	\$123 00 125 00 127 00 135 90 135 90	266 70 268 90 271 20 271 20 273 20	\$271 20 275 30 279 80 279 80 279 80				
1967 1968 1969 1970 1971	44 00 55 00 55 00 64 00 70 40	101 40 101 40 101 40 101 40 101 40	135 90 156 00 160 50 189 80 213 10	140 00 161 60 167 30 196 40 220 40	279 80 284 10 292 40 300 50 306 80	288 20 294 20 304 70 311 10 317 10				
1972 1973 1974 1975 1976	70 40 84 50 84 50 93 80 101 40	101 40 101 40 101 40 101 40	216 10 266 10 274 60 316 30 364 00	224 70 276 40 284 90 333 70 378 80	311.10 319 10 329 30 341 70	323 40 331 50 341 70 360 40				

Assumes retirement at beginning of year.
 Represents benefit for both men and women; amount identical until 1962.
 Effective for February 1968.

level through fiscal year 1976, unless remedial legislation is enacted.)

SSI automatic increase.—The 8.0-percent automatic increase in OASDHI benefits also affects the Federal guarantee in the supplemental security income (SSI) program. Under Public Law 93-368, enacted August 7, 1974, the annual dollar amounts of the Federal SSI payments are to be raised by the same percentage as the OASDHI benefit increase and rounded to the next higher multiple of \$1.20. The increase is to be effective for the first month after the month for which the OASDHI increase is effective so that increases under both programs become payable in the same month.

As a result of the 8.0-percent increase, the Federal SSI benefit levels of \$1,752 per year (\$146) per month) for an individual and \$2,628 per year (\$219 per month) for a couple were increased to the following figures, payable in July 1975<sup>2</sup>:

Annual Monthly
Individual living in own home \_\_\_ \$1,892.40 \$157.70
Couple living in own home \_\_\_\_ 2,839.20 236.00

## **Research Grants Studies**

Section 1110 of the Social Security Act provides for a cooperative research grants program. The grants given by the Social Security Administration (SSA) under this program are to non-profit organizations for research in the broad area of social security. A report on a recently completed grants project is summarized below. The Bulletin plans to publish similar summaries as the projects are concluded.

# WORK AFTER RETIREMENT: SOME PSYCHOLOGICAL FACTORS

The proportion of older men in the national labor force has been decreasing steadily, an occurrence that has been attributed to the increased availability and adequacy of retirement income. If money were the only determinant of continued employment, it should be found that only the poorest men continued to work. This is not the case. Studies have shown that persons at occupational levels associated with higher incomes are most likely to continue to work at a later age.

G. L. Maddox and G. G. Fillenbaum of the Center for the Study of Aging and Human Development at Duke University used SSA Research Grant No. 56047 to study what factors, other than financial need, contribute to work after formal retirement. They focused their investigation on social and psychological factors.

Data were obtained initially from university faculty and later from middle and upper level industrialists. The data indicate that the individuals who continued to work had a greater personal dislike of retirement, a stronger commitment to work, and the personality characteristics that may sustain work commitment and improve the possibility of getting a job later.

The university faculty selected for this study were healthy men who were subject to mandatory retirement. They had no financial problems and anticipated none after retirement. Information was sought in five areas: attitudes toward and plans for retirement, commitment to work, certain personality variables (extent of field dependence, self-perceived ability to work, and those related to getting a job), and peer influence.

#### **Findings**

At least 75 percent of the faculty group continued some form of paid employment after retirement. Area of expertise was strongly related to continued work: nearly all in the sciences, about half in the social sciences, and none in the humanities had worked for pay in retirement. It was difficult for the researchers to compare those who continued to work with those who did not because so many had continued employment. The data suggest that those who continue to work may, after retirement, have better health and carry greater financial burdens, without necessarily having financial problems.

While in the abstract those who continue to

The final report of this completed research grants project is in the Social Security Administration Library, 571 Altmeyer Building, 6401 Security Blvd., Baltimore, Md. 21235, and in the Library of the Office of Research and Statistics, Room 320-0, Universal North Building, 1875 Connecticut Ave., N. W., Washington, D. C. 20009. Copies of the reports may be obtained through interlibrary loans. (Also in these libraries are copies of more than 50 other research grants projects that have been completed since 1963. A list of these projects appeared in the May 1974 BULLETIN.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Individual and couples living in another's household and receiving support and maintenance have the benefit level reduced by one-third The "essential person" payment also rises with the automatic increase, since it is based on the difference between the amounts payable to an individual and to a couple The Federal SSI guarantee for the institutional population is not affected by the automatic increase.