# Cash Benefits for Short-Term Sickness, 1948–76

by Daniel N Price\*

National income-maintenance programs provide cash benefits when workers become unemployed, retire, are injured on the job, have a long-term disability, or die For short-term sickness, however, only five States, one other jurisdiction, and a single industry require wage-replacement protection. Voluntary plans cover a substantial number of additional workers, including many whose protection was established through labor-management negotiations. In this annual update of estimates on the extent of protection provided, many of the historical statistics have been revised back to 1967 as a result of new information on the amount of sick-leave benefits paid to employees of the Federal Government. The effects of the new data on the benefit series are examined, as are trends in the provision of sickness benefits for maternity—an issue subject to considerable recent controversy.

Short-term nonoccupational disability continued to be a major hazard for American workers in calendar year 1976, but the resulting income loss was offset to a considerable extent under a variety of compulsory and voluntary programs During the year—

- Workers lost an estimated \$26 5 billion in earnings as a result of nonoccupational sickness and injury—an increase of 12 percent over the amount for the previous year
- Benefits paid under programs providing protection against income loss resulting from these disabilities totaled \$9.7 billion—a rise of \$845 million or about 10 percent above the 1975 level
- Benefits replaced about 36 5 percent of lost income—a rate slightly below the levels of earlier years
- Some 50 6 million workers were covered by short-term benefit plans—about 1 5 million more than in 1975 These covered individuals accounted for a little less than two-thirds of America's workers
- Sick leave was the major source of protection against income loss from sickness and injury in terms of benefits paid, but insurance plans that provide benefits during periods of disability actually covered more workers

### **Income Loss and Replacement**

American workers lost about \$26 5 billion in earnings as a result of short-term nonoccupational sickness or injury during calendar year 1976—a total about 12 percent higher than that for the previous year (table 1) Workers in all sectors of the economy experienced higher income losses from these causes in 1976 than in 1975 but those in private industry suffered the greatest dollar loss. Three factors combined to account for the higher earnings loss. Sickness rates rose by 2 percent, average earnings for civilian wage and salary workers by 7 percent, and the number of employed wage and salary workers by 3 percent.

Income losses attributable to sickness or injury in 1976 were partly offset by the payment of \$9 7 billion in benefits under plans providing protection against such losses (table 2) This amount was about 10 percent higher than the figure for 1975—an increase similar to that recorded annually in recent years. Although the actual dollar amount of payments rose in 1976, the relative amount of protection against wage losses caused by sickness or injury declined slightly from the 1975 level The ratio of benefits paid to income lost in 1976 was 36 5 percent, compared with 37 5 percent a year earlier For practical purposes the level of protection provided by the various wage-replacement programs has remained virtually constant since 1970 From 1970 to 1976, the annual ratio of benefits paid to income lost fluctuated between 34 9 percent and 37 5 percent

<sup>\*</sup>Division of Retirement and Survivors Studies, Office of Research and Statistics, Social Security Administration

Protection against loss of earnings during periods of short-term nonoccupational disability is provided in a number of ways For wage and salary workers in private industry, the most common method is through group or individual insurance policies sold by commercial insurance companies that pay cash amounts during specified periods of disability Employers may also self-insure.

Table 1.—Estimated income-loss from nonoccupational short-term sickness, i by type of employment, 1948-76<sup>2</sup>

-					
n	n	m	•11	lını	ne

	ı.		Wage and salary workers							
		In pri employ				ublic yment	Self			
Year	Total	Total	Covered by temporary disability insurance laws <sup>4</sup>	Other <sup>5</sup>	Federal 6	State and local <sup>7</sup>	employed persons <sup>8</sup>			
1948	\$4 582	\$3 632	\$391	\$2 809	\$174	\$258	\$950			
1949	4 445	3 602	483	2 644	190	285	843			
1950	4 816	3 921	712	2 703	201	305	895			
1951	5 494	4 495	1 059	2 843	259	334	999			
1952	5 834	4 832	1 132	3 040	291	369	1 002			
1953	6 163	5 199	1 213	3,295	290	401	964			
1954	6 114	5 162	1 212	3 233	280	437	952			
1955	6 565	5 574	1 299	3 508	297	470	991			
1956	7,052	6 035	1 430	3 774	313	518	1,017			
1957	7,386	6 336	1 512	<b>3</b> ,931	323	570	1,050			
1958	7 477	6,371	1,507	3 884	352	628	1 106			
1959	7 749	6 680	1 580	4 090	356	654	1 069			
1960	8 591	7,462	1 773	4 526	403	760	1 129			
1961	8 664	7,527	1,770	4 524	420	813	1 137			
1962	9 653	8 426	1,983	5 051	467	925	1 227			
	10 213	8 956	2 084	5 359	504	1 009	1 257			
	10 298	9 065	2 085 2 244	5 435	506	1 039	1 231			
	11 333   12,268	9,971 10 833	2 403	6,017 6 553	548 597	1 162 1 275	1,362 1,435			
	12,208	11,401	2 529	6 928	632	1,312	1,433			
1968	14 585	13 032	2 852	7 904	698	1.578	1 553			
1969	15 307	13 747	3 025	8,334	757	1 631	1 560			
1970	16 757	15 161	3 261	9.147	841	1 912	1,596			
1971	17 146	15 550	3 273	9,321	897	2 059	1,596			
	19 507	17 641	3 653	10 649	961	2 378	1 866			
	21 059	18 881	4 061	11 261	1,026	2 533	2 178			
	21 804	19,852	4 202	11 883	1 118	2 649	1 952			
	23 565	21 560	4 482	12,820	1 213	3,045	2 005			
1976	26 468	24,373	5,003	14 726	1,301	3 343	2 095			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Short term or temporary non work connected disability (lasting not more than 6 months) and the first 6 months of long term disability

Table 2.—Extent of protection against income loss. 1948-76

#### [Amounts in millions]

	Incom	e loss and prote	Income	Net cost	
Year	Income loss 1	Protection provided 23	Protection as percent of loss	loss not pro-	of pro viding insurance <sup>4</sup>
1948	\$4 582	\$761	16 6	\$3 821	\$277
1949	4:445	848	19 1	3 597	287
1950	4 816	942	196	3 874	307
1951	5 494	1 153	21 0	4 341	311
1952	5 834	1,304	22 4	4 530	322
1953	6 163	1 413	22 9	4 750	428
1954	6 114	1 478	24 2	4 636	453
1955	6 565	1 620	24 7	4 945	450
1956	7 052	1 806	25 6	5 246	413
1957	7,386	1 958	26 5	5 428	482
1958	7 477	2 093	28 0	5 384	519
1959	7,749	2,236	289	5,513	548
1960	8 591	2 430	28 3	6,161	542
1961	8 664	2 561	29 6	6 103	592
1962	9 653	2 776	28 8	6 877	621
1963	10 213	<b>2 9</b> 97	29 3	7 216	597
1964	10 296	3 101	30 f	7 195	642
1965	11 333	3 349	29 6	7 984	708
1966	12 268	3 637	29 6	8 631	815
1967	12 838	3 898	30 4	8 940	887
1968	14 585	5 622	317	9 963	1 014
1969	15 307	5 104	33 3	10 203	1,214
1970	16 757 [	5 872	35 0	10 885	1,160
1971	17,146	6 120	35 7	11 026	1 386
1972	[ 19 507 [	6 807	34 9	12 700	1 556
1973	21,059	7 369	35 0	13 690	1 734
1974	21 804	8 135	37 3	13 669	1 768
1975	23 565	8 830	37 5	14,735	2 226
1976	26 468	9 674	36 5	16,794	2 172

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> From table 1

providing either cash benefits or paid sick leave. Some unions, union-management trust funds, fraternal societies, and mutual benefit associations also pay cash disability benefits. These methods are not mutually exclusive Employers often use a paid-sick-leave plan to supplement insurance benefits, and workers may, as individuals, purchase insurance policies to supplement the protection provided on the job

This privately insured protection may be obtained through voluntary action by the employer or the employee, or-as in California, Hawaii, New Jersey, New York, and Puerto Rico-it may be mandated under a compulsory temporary disability insurance (TDI) law The coverage provided under these laws is similar to that under unemployment insurance laws. The unemployment insurance amendments of 1976 extended protection under that program to many farm workers and to most State and local government workers effective January 1978 Under TDI laws, farm workers are covered in California, Hawaii, and Puerto Rico, State and local government employees are covered to differing degrees, depending on the area In California the self-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Beginning 1960 data include Alaska and Hawaii Beginning 1959 data adjusted to reflect changes in sickness experience (average number of disability days), as reported in the Health Interview Survey of the Public Health Service Data not available for Puerto Rico

<sup>3</sup> Annual payrolls of wage and salary workers in private employment multiplied by 7 (estimated average workdays lost per year due to short term sickness) and divided by 255 (estimated workdays in year) Data for 1948-72 from unpublished advance tables in Benchmark Revision of National Income and Product Accounts, Department of Commerce Comparable data for 1973-76 from Survey of Current Business, National Income Issue published annually

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Total annual payrolls of wage and salary workers in industries covered by temporary disability insurance laws in Rhode Island California, New Jersey and

New York and in the railroad industry, multiplied by 7 and divided by 255 <sup>5</sup>Difference between total loss for all wage workers in private employment and

for those covered by temporary disability insurance laws <sup>6</sup>Federal civilian payroll in the United States from U.S. Civil Service Commission multiplied by 8 (estimated average workdays fost per year due to short term sickness) and divided by 260 (scheduled workdays in year)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Annual wage and salary payrolls of State and local government employees from Department of Commerce data (see footnote 3) multiplied by estimated average workdays lost per year due to short term sickness (for 1948-66 7 5 days for 1967 7 35 days, for 1968 7 2 days, and for 1969 to date 7 0 days) and divided by 255 (estimated workdays in year)

Annual farm and nonfarm proprietors income from Department of Commerce data (see footnote 3) multiplied by 7 (estimated income-loss days per year due to short term sickness) and divided by 300 (estimated workdays in year)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Total benefits including sick leave

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Beginning 1973, includes benefits for the sixth month of disability under the old age survivors and disability insurance program

Includes retention costs (for contingency reserves, taxes commissions acquisition, claims settlement and underwriting gains) of private insurance companies (from table 7) and administrative expenses for publicly operated plans and for supervision of the operation of private plans. Excludes costs of operating sick leave plans data not available

employed may elect coverage Even if they are not covered under the statutory requirement, many workers in the TDI areas have group sickness insurance or sick leave provided by their employers. In all, more than 9 out of 10 wage and salary workers in these TDI areas may receive a wage-replacement benefit when they are temporarily disabled.

Under the two other compulsory programs—that of Rhode Island and the Federal program for railroad employees—all benefits are provided from publicly operated disability insurance funds. In California, New Jersey, and Puerto Rico, employers may "contract out" of the public plan by providing an approved private plan, usually one insured by a commercial company or financed on a self-insured basis. The Hawaii and New York laws require employers to provide sickness protection of specified value for their employees by establishing a privately insured plan In New York. employers may alternately purchase insurance from a State fund that itself has many of the characteristics of a private carrier Where private plans are allowed, union or union-management plans may provide the sickness benefits required by law

Sick leave is the other major means of maintaining a worker's wage when he cannot work because of illness or accident Although sickness insurance and sick leave have the same objective—preventing the stoppage of income during temporary periods of incapacity—they operate in different fashions. Sick leave generally provides full replacement of earnings from the first day of an illness for a specified number of days, usually from 5 to 15 a year. Sometimes, unused sick leave can be accumulated from year to year. In contrast, sickness insurance may pay, after a 1-week waiting period, up to 26 weeks of benefits at some fraction of weekly wages—between one-half and two-thirds—subject to a specified maximum amount.

Of the 79 4 million wage and salary workers in the United States in December 1976, 50 6 million or 64 percent were under some formal employment-related plan providing cash sickness benefits. The proportion with some form of group coverage has been estimated at nearly two-thirds for many years. These figures include both public and private employees and those under voluntary plans as well as programs mandated by law. The 13 3 million government workers estimated to have protection are mostly under sick-leave or wage-continuation plans. In contrast, the large majority of protected workers in private industry are covered by commercial insurance or self-insured plans that generally provide partial wage replacement after a waiting period of 3-5 days.

Private employees had a lower rate of coverage than did public employees, most of whom are protected against income loss in the event of sickness or injury As table 3 shows, 37 3 million private wage and salary

workers, or 57 percent of the total, were under a group insurance or sick-leave plan in 1976. The proportion of workers in private industry covered by these forms of income maintenance has fluctuated narrowly and with no discernible pattern over the years.

When the workers without mandatory protection are examined separately, it is apparent that their rate of coverage is even lower than that for private employees in general About 22 million workers were under voluntary sick-pay plans (not counting those with individual policies, group credit policies, or those who might receive some benefit from an informal plan) Thus, only about 44 percent of the private employees

Table 3.—Degree of income-loss protection against short-term sickness for all employed wage and salary workers in private industry and for those not under temporary disability insurance laws, end of selected years 1954-76

		With protection					
End of year	Total number (in thousands) 1	Number (in thousands) <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total				
	All wage	All wage and salary workers					
954	43,000	25 600	59 5				
956	46 000	27 700	60 2				
958	45 900	26 900	58 6				
960	47,000	28,200	60 0				
962	48 900	29 800	60 9				
964	51 200	28,700	56 1				
966	54 800	30 700	56 0				
968	56 800	33 500	59 0				
970	58 000	35 300	60.9				
971	58 900	35 500	60 3				
972	61 400	36 500	59 4				
973	63 800	38 100	59 7				
974	62 800	36 900	58 8				
975	62 700	36 000	57 4				
976	65,400	37,300	57 0				
		alary workers not u					
954	31 400	15 000	47 8				
956	34 200	16,400	48 0				
958	33 600	16 000	47 6				
960	34 300	16 800	49 (				
962	35 900	17 400	48 5				
964	38,100	16 000	42 (				
966	41,000	17 000	41.5				
968	42 600	19 300	45 3				
970	43,300	20 600	47 6				
971	44 300	20 900	47.2				
972	46 500	21 600	46 5				
973	47 700	22 000	46 1				
974	47 700	21 800	45				
975	48 000	21 300	44 4				
976	50,200	22 100	44 (				

<sup>1</sup>Number in private industry For areas not under temporary disability insurance laws, total excludes railroad workers and is adjusted by ratio of private industry employees on nonagricultural payrolls in the States with temporary disability insurance laws to all such employees. Data from Bureau of Labor Statistics, Employment and Earnings and Monthly Report on the Labor Force Beginning 1968 data not strictly comparable with that for earlier years Labor force information for 1968 and thereafter excludes those agged 14-15 and includes certain workers previously classified as self employed.

<sup>3</sup>Estimated number of private industry workers (1) with group accident and sickness insurance (except group credit insurance), (2) under paid sick leave plans (3) under union and mutual association plans, and (4) under State operated temporary disability insurance funds Beginning 1964, group accident and sickness insurance coverage adjusted to exclude those with long term benefit policies which usually do not provide short-term benefits Estimates of private protection based on data from Health Insurance Association of America and from State administrative agencies

not covered under mandatory programs were in formal group plans in 1976

About 16 million workers were protected against wage loss from short-term sickness through the TDI programs of the six jurisdictions and the railroad industry. Five of the TDI laws had been enacted by 1950, and the remaining two in 1968 (Puerto Rico) and 1969 (Hawaii) Employment covered by TDI laws has gone up slowly during the 1970's—a result of substantial growth in California that has been partly offset by employment declines in other areas

Three other forms of voluntary protection are excluded from the estimates made for this series. First, the data for voluntary group insurance coverage exclude persons whose only protection was under credit insurance since this type of protection does not generally stem from an employment relationship. Credit insurance is purchased by lenders to protect themselves against the risk of nonpayment if borrowers become disabled

Second, no attempt was made to include in either the coverage or benefit data those workers who receive benefits through informal plans. Informal plans, by their nature, do not provide assurance of any definite protec-

tion against the hazard of income loss from disability Moreover, because of the lack of a clear commitment to provide specified benefits, no ready means exists by which to estimate how many persons might receive such benefits, under what conditions, or in what amounts

Third, employees and self-employed persons covered by individual insurance policies also were not enumerated in this series. It would be difficult to eliminate the duplication that results when some persons have more than one policy or hold an individual policy in addition to some form of group protection. Furthermore, individual policies are not necessarily related to participation in the labor force (those that provide flat-rate periodic cash benefits upon proof of hospitalization, for example). The benefits paid under individual insurance policies, however, are included in most of the following tables.

The amount of benefits provided under the various types of income-replacement programs is shown in table 4 Payments under one major form of protection against income losses due to sickness—private cash sickness insurance and self-insurance—totaled \$2 3 billion in 1976 Benefits provided through individual insurance

Table 4.—Benefits provided as protection against income loss, summary data, 1948-76
[In millions]

				-						
-		Benefits provided under individual insurance		Gr	oup benefits prov against wage ar		n			
				Workers in private employment						
Year	Total 1		Total ¹	Total	Private cash sickness insurance and self insurance <sup>2</sup>	Publicly operated cash sickness funds	Sick leave	Sick leave for govern ment em ployees		
1948 1949 1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956	\$761 4 848 2 941 8 1 152 9 1 303 9 1 412 7 1 477 6 1,619 6 1 805 7	\$141 0 150 0 153 0 157 0 177 0 209 0 230 0 250 0 278 0	\$620 4 698 3 788 8 995 9 1 126 9 1 203 7 1 247 6 1 369 6 1 527 7	\$361 3 398 3 473 7 605 8 674 1 722 4 747 3 824 9 936 9	\$145 8 172 0 230 8 343 8 382 1 397 2 399 1 442 4 524 5	\$57 1 62 1 63 1 60 9 74 5 90 5 103 1 109 4 113 8	\$158 4 164 2 179 8 201 1 217 5 234 7 245 1 273 1 298 6	\$259 1 299 9 315 1 390 1 452 8 481 3 500 3 544 7 590 8		
1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967	1 957 9 2,093 2 2 236 3 2 429 6 2,560 7 2,776 3 2,997 3 3,101 3 3 349 0 3 636 8 3 898 3	307 2 353 4 389 6 392 8 425 9 418 5 447 2 483 9 482 6 512 9 527 4	1 650 7 1,739 8 1 846 7 2 036 8 2 134 8 2,357 8 2 550 1 2 617 4 2 866 3,123 9 3 370 9	1 024 3 1 043 5 1,122 9 1 210 6 1,241 0 1,355 0 1,444 9 1,484 8 1,602 3 1,735 1 1 834 4	567 2 555 7 600 5 638 4 625 7 670 7 675 4 715 7 767 1 843 2 869 3	127 2 141 4 163 7 172 1 195 2 212 0 243 9 264 4 269 1 273 2 284 7	329 9 346 4 358 7 400 1 420 1 472 3 525 6 504 7 566 1 618 7 680 4	626 4 696 3 723 8 826 2 893 8 1 002 8 1 105 2 1,132 6 1 264 1 1 388 8 1,536 5		
1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975	4 621 9 5,104 2 5,871 5 6,120 5 6 806 9 7 7669 0 8,134 6 8 829 8 9,674 4	609 1 635 4 693 7 730 9 772 0 795 0 851 0 973 0 881 0	4 012 8 4 468 8 5 177 8 5,389 6 6 034 9 6 574 0 7,283 6 7 856 8 8,793 4	2 246 9 2,550 7 2 952 5 3 030 1 3 390 1 3,650 3 4 143 5 4 328 6 4 953 0	1,123 7 1,246 7 1 475 9 1 489 0 1,614 4 1,735 8 2 024 4 2,009 6 2 313 5	320 2 373 7 410 6 410 9 412 0 445 9 485 3 538 3 580 9	803 0 930 3 1 066 0 1 130 2 1 363 7 1 468 6 1 633 8 1,780 7 2 058 6	1 765 9 1 918 1 2 225 3 2 359 5 2 644 8 2 813 7 3 010 1 3 368 2 3 670 4		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Beginning 1973 includes benefits for the sixth month of disability payable under the old age survivors and disability insurance program (not shown separately)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Includes a small but undetermined amount of group disability insurance benefits paid to government workers and to self-employed persons through farm, trade, or professional associations

policies totaled \$881 million during the year and those paid from publicly operated cash sickness funds for TDI programs totaled nearly \$581 million

Of the total benefits, more than half were provided under sick-leave programs, which paid a total of \$5 7 billion in 1976 Federal, State, and local governments protect their workers against sickness-related income loss almost exclusively through sick-leave programs About \$3 7 billion of the \$5 7 billion paid in sick-leave benefits in 1976 went to government workers

During the period covered by this series, the share of total payments represented by the various components has remained surprisingly stable. In 1976, for example, payments for government sick leave accounted for 38 percent of the total, and combined group private benefits (insurance and sick leave) made up 51 percent. In 1950, benefits for these programs accounted, respectively, for 33 percent and 50 percent of the total. The only movement in the series that can be regarded as a trend is the gradual drop occurring in the proportion of total payments that individual insurance has accounted for These payments equaled 9 percent of the total in 1976, compared with about 16 percent in 1950.

The extent of income replacement provided by the various types of programs can be expressed as a ratio of benefits paid to income lost. On an overall basis, payments under sickness programs during 1976 replaced a little more than one-third of the wages lost in periods of short-term sickness or injury. Workers in government were afforded a substantially higher level of protection than those in private industry, primarily because the majority of sickness-benefit plans for government workers are sick-leave plans that provide for full wage replacement In 1976, benefits paid to government workers for short-term sickness or injury equaled nearly four-fifths of their lost wages (table 5) In contrast, payments to workers in private industry amounted to only one-fourth of their lost wages. Those workers covered under TDI laws fared slightly better than those who were not covered by these laws

## Benefit Experience in 1976

### **Temporary Disability Insurance**

Table 6 shows the benefits paid annually under TDI programs from both private insurance sources and through publicly operated TDI funds Benefits paid in 1976 in the four States for which data were available (California, New Jersey, New York, and Rhode Island) and the Federal program for railroad employees totaled \$994 million, \$55 million more than the 1975 figure Almost two-thirds of the increase was in benefits paid to railroad workers. This dramatic rise, which occurred

despite a continuation of the decline in railroad employment, resulted from a major legislative change implemented in July 1975. In that month, the statutory maximum daily benefit almost doubled from \$12.70 to \$24.00 (\$25.00 effective July 1, 1976) and the initial unpaid waiting period was reduced from 7 to 4 days.

In addition to the increase for railroad workers, all other TDI jurisdictions except New York raised their benefit maximums in 1975 or 1976 Generally, these increases were intended to allow benefits to catch up with inflation in wages Hawaii, New Jersey, and Rhode Island have automatic escalator clauses that raise the maximum without recourse to new legislation

Benefits from publicly operated funds accounted for 58 percent of the total amount paid in 1976 under TDI programs, a proportion slightly higher than those for earlier years. For the first time, benefits paid by self-insurers (\$213 million) exceeded the amount paid under commercial group insurance policies. In 1960, by contrast, benefits paid by self-insurers had accounted for 30 percent of the total paid under privately administered TDI programs.

An illustration of the extent of protection under TDI programs is provided by comparing the ratio of wages lost in TDI jurisdictions to wage losses nationally with the corresponding ratio for benefits paid Wage losses caused by temporary disability in TDI areas have consistently equaled about one-fourth of the national total In 1976 the TDI area/national wage-loss ratio was 25 percent, compared with 26 percent the year before In contrast, the ratio of benefit payments in TDI jurisdictions to the national total has been much higher than that for income loss. In 1976 the ratio was 34 percent, three percentage points less than the 1975 level and considerably below the all-time high of 48 percent in 1963 Although the TDI area/national benefits ratio has dropped over the years, in large part because of improved benefits in the voluntary sector, it still clearly reflects a higher aggregate level of benefit replacement in TDI areas than in non-TDI areas

#### **Private Insurance**

Benefits paid for sickness and injury under private auspices continued to rise in 1976, to \$3 2 billion (table 7) This amount represented a moderate increase (7 percent) from the 1975 total, compared with a gain of almost 14 percent from 1973 to 1974 Premiums in 1976 totaled \$5 3 billion, 3 percent above the 1975 level It should be noted that premiums for self-insurance and group insurance under public provisions are estimated primarily on the basis of benefit amounts for these programs and on the relationship of benefits to premiums for voluntary group insurance. Since 1960, benefits paid have amounted to approximately three-fifths to two-thirds of premiums earned. In recent years,

 $<sup>^{1}\,</sup>Data$  on Hawaii and Puerto Rico were excluded for the reasons given in footnote 1, table 6

Table 5.—Group protection provided in relation to wage and salary loss, 1948-76
[Amounts in millions]

	<u> </u>						Wage a	ınd salary	workers						
					Private industry										<del></del>
		Total			Total			Covered by temporary disability insurance laws		Not covered by temporary disability insurance laws			Government		
Year			ection		Prote prov	ection rided			ection			ection		Protection provided	
	Income loss	Amount 1	Percent of income loss	Income loss	Amount	Percent of income loss	Income loss	Amount	Percent of income loss	Income loss	Amount	Percent of income loss	Income loss	Amount	Percent of income loss
1948	\$3,632	\$620	17 1	\$3 199	\$361	11 3	\$391	\$79	20 2	\$2,809	\$282	10 0	\$432	\$259 1	60 0
1949	3 602	689	19 4	3,127	398	12 7	483	105	21 7	2 644	293	11 1	475	299 9	63 1
1950	3 921	789	20 1	3,415	474	13 9	712	141	198	2,703	333	12 3	506	315 1	62 3
1951 1952	4 495 4 832	996 1 127	22 2 2 2 3	3 902 4 172	606 674	15 5 16 2	1 059 1 132	209 239	19 7 21 1	2 843 3 040	397 435	14 0 14 3	593 660	390 1 452 8	65 8 68 6
1953	5 199	1 204	23 3	4 508	722	16 0	1 213	259	22 2	3 295	453 453	13 7	691	4813	697
1954	5 162	1 248	24 2	4 445	747	16 8	1 213	276	22 8	3,233	471	14 6	717	500 3	698
1955	5 574	1 370	24 6	4 807	825	17 2	1 299	290	22 3	3 508	535	15 3	767	544 7	710
1956	6 035	1 528	25 3	5 204	937	18 0	1 430	316	22 1	3 774	621	16 5	831	590 8	71 1
1957	6,336	1,651	26 1	5,443	1,024	18 8	1,512	360	23 8	3,931	664	16 9	893	626 4	70 1
1958	6 371	1 740	27 3	5 391	1 044	19 4	1 507	382	25 3	3 884	662	17 0	980	696 3	71.1
1959	6 680	1 847	27 6	5 670	1,123	198	1 530	411	26 0	4 090	712	17 4	1 010	723 8	717
1960	7 462	2 037	27 3	6 299	1 211	19 2	1,773	435	24 5	4 526	776	17 1	1,163	826 2	710
1961	7 527	2 135	28 4	6 294	1 241	19 7	1,770	465	26 3	4 524	776	17 2	1 233	893 8	72 5
1962	8 426	2 358	28 0	7 034	1,355	13 3	1,983	495	25 0	5 051	860	17 0	1,392	1 002 8	72 0
1963 1964	8 956 9 065	2,550 2 617	28 5 28 9	7,443 7,520	1,445 1 485	19 4 19 7	2,084 2 085	529 537	25 4 25 8	5,359 5 435	916 948	17 1 17 4	1,513 1,545	1 105 2	73 0
1965	9 971	2 866	28 7	8 261	1 602	19 4	2 244	558	24 9	6 017	1 044	17 4	1,710	1,264 1	73 9
1966	10 833	3 124	28 8	8 961	1 735	19 4	2 408	581	24 1	6 553	1 154	17 6	1 872	1 388 8	74 2
1967	11 401	3,371	29 6	9 457	1 834	19 4	2 529	616	24 4	6,928	1,218	17 6	1 944	1,536 5	79 0
1968	13 032	4 013	30 8	10 756	2 247	20 9	2 852	699	24 5	7,904	1.548	196	2,276	1 765 9	77 6
1969 .	13 742	4 469	32 5	11 359	2 551	22 5	3 025	799	26 4	8 334	1 752	21 0	2 388	1 918 1	80 3
1970	15 161	5 178	34 2	12 408	2 953	23 8	3,261	880	27 0	9 147	2,073	22 7	2 753	2,225 3	808
1971	15 550	5,390	34.7	12,594	3,030	24 1	3 273	900	27 5	9 321	2 130	22 9	2 956	2 359 5	79 8
1972	17 641	6 035	34 2	14 302	3 390	23 7	3,653	969	26 5	10 649	2,421	22.7	3 339	2 644 8	79 2
1973	18 881	6 574	34 8	15,322	3 650	23 8	4 061	1,081	26 6	11,261	2 569	22 8	3,559	2 813 7	79 1
1974	19,852	7,284	36 7	16 085	4 144	25 8	4 202	1 171	27 9	11 883	2 973	25 0	3 767	3 010 1	79 9
1975 1976	21,560 24 373	7,857 8 793	36 4 36 1	17,302 19,729	4 329 4,953	25 0 25 1	4 482 5 003	1 266 1 372	28 2 27 4	12 820 14 726	3 063 3 581	23 9 24 3	4 258 4 644	3,368 2 3 670 4	79 1 79 0
15/0		0 /93	30 1	19,729	7,533	4.5 1	2 (0)3	1 3/2	214	14 /20	2 201	24 3	4 044	3 0/0 4	190

<sup>1</sup>Beginning 1973, includes benefits for the sixth month of disability payable under the old age survivors and disability insurance program (not shown separately)

they have tended to register more toward the lower end of this range

Considerable variation is evident in the nature of changes in benefits paid under the various programs shown in table 7 Despite the overall increases for the year, benefits and premiums under individual insurance dropped 9-10 percent in 1976 For group insurance under public provisions, benefits and premiums also declined, while voluntary group insurance benefits and premiums rose sharply—by 16-18 percent. The decline in the individual insurance component in 1976 is consistent with the trend in the relationship of individual insurance and all other cash-sickness insurance over the preceding 10 years. In 1966, benefits paid under individual insurance accounted for 38 percent of all sicknessinsurance benefits, by 1976 the percentage had dropped to 28 percent The share paid under voluntary group insurance rose during the same period-from 44 percent to 57 percent

Cash sickness benefits are not necessarily intended to replace fully the income lost to a worker while he is disabled Private insurance benefits in particular are usually established as partial income replacement. To discourage malingering, insurance plans ordinarily undertake to compensate for only a part of the weekly wage or salary loss and cover the first few days or first week of disability only when the disability results from an accident. These plans give recognition to the fact that insurance benefits, in contrast to wages, are often tax-free

To gauge the adequacy of insurance in replacing wages lost during short-term sickness, table 8 compares the actual amount of insurance benefits (excluding sick leave) with the hypothetical amount of income loss considered potentially insurable. A few alternative insurance objectives are assumed, with the benefit provisions of some of the more liberal insurance policies used as guides. The amount of assumed income loss varies according to (1) what the alternative waiting periods are and (2) whether all or two-thirds of the gross weekly wage is to be replaced.

If the goal had been to replace two-thirds of a worker's weekly wage after a 7-day uncompensated waiting period, somewhat more than half the income

loss would have been covered in recent years. In 1976, insurance benefits amounted to almost 53 percent of this loss. By comparison, if the objective had been defined as providing a full-replacement benefit after a 3-day waiting period, benefits in 1976 would have indemnified less than 28 percent of the income loss.

Replacement rates for insurance benefits have not demonstrated any notable trend in recent years but have risen substantially over the full period presented, as table 8 reveals. In general, cash-benefit protection against short-term sickness continues to be only partly realized in this country. Currently, there is little to indicate any significant change, except in the provision of maternity benefits.

#### Paid Sick Leave

The other major form of income-loss protection against sickness is sick leave. In 1976, sick-leave payments totaled \$5.7 billion. Table 9 presents data on all sick-leave payments and on those to workers in private industry and government.

The nature of the payments in these two sectors is quite different. Most government workers are under exclusive sick-leave plans—that is, sick leave is the only form of compensation provided for this purpose. It is generally a full-pay replacement. Some workers in private industry—particularly executives and other higher-paid employees—also have this form of protection. In private industry, however, sick leave is often a "supplemental" benefit—a payment coordinated with insurance benefits, such as a sick-leave benefit available during the waiting period before insurance benefits are payable, and/or a partial wage-replacement payment to supplement weekly insurance payments.

Sick-leave payments to private employees registered a greater 1-year gain (16 percent) than did payments to public employees (9 percent) Payments to government workers in 1976, which amounted to \$3.7 billion, continued to be the larger of the two components. The large rise in private payments was partly a function of higher employment levels in private industry during 1976.

Data in table 10 highlight the effectiveness of exclusive sick-leave programs in replacing wages lost because of sickness. More than \$4.6 billion of the \$5.7 billion in sick-leave benefits paid during 1976 was exclusive compensation for income lost because of sickness. Because of the nature of this protection a high proportion of the income lost by workers covered under exclusive sick-leave programs is replaced—77 percent in 1976. As stated earlier, most government workers are under exclusive sick-leave plans, a type of protection much less likely to be found in private industry. Thus, workers in private industry received only about one-fifth of the exclusive sick-leave benefits paid, even though

Table 6.—Cash benefits under temporary disability insurance laws provided through private plans and through publicly operated funds, 1948-76<sup>1</sup>

[In millions]

•		Type of insurance arrangement					
Year	Total	Private	plans <sup>2</sup>	Publicly			
i eat	1002	Group insurance	Self- insurance <sup>3</sup>	operated funds <sup>4</sup>			
1948	\$66.4	\$9 0	\$0.3	\$57 1			
1949	89 2	22 3	48	62 1			
1950	117.4	41 7	12 6	63 1			
1951	174 2	81 1	32 2	609			
1952	202 3	92 5	35 3	74 5			
1953	230 2	102 0	37 7	90 5			
1954	235 1	96 2	35 8	103 1			
1955	244 6	97 0	38 2	109 4			
1956	265 0	109 7	41.5	113 8			
1957	305 3	129 5	48 6	127 2			
1958	325 1	132 7	51 0	141 4			
1959	353 2	135 2	54 3	163 7			
1960	368 2	138 1	58 0	172 1			
1961	396 6	141 3	60 i	195 2			
1962	416 3	143 7	60 6	212 0			
1963	442 2	130 6	67 6	243 9			
1964	455 8	123 2	68 2	264 4			
1965	466 7	124 8	72 8	269 1			
1966	481 6	130 9	77.5	273 2			
1967	507 1	139 1	83 3	284 7			
1968	571 9	154 0	97 <b>7</b>	320 2			
1969	654 9	171 7	109 5	373 7			
1970	717 8	183 7	123 5	4106			
1971	721 3	184 0	126 4	4109			
1972	740 5	183 7	144 8	4120			
1973	799 3	193 6	159 9	445 9			
1974	866 9	199 7	1819	485 3			
1975	939 2	210 6	190 3	538 3			
1976	994 4	200 6	213 0	580 9			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Programs under the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act and the laws of Rhode Island California New Jersey (beginning 1949) and New York (beginning 1950) Data for Hawaii not available Excludes benefits in Puerto Rico (\$6 6 million in 1976) for consistency with wage loss data in table 1 and elsewhere Excludes hospital benefits in California and hospital surgical, and medical benefits in New York

<sup>2</sup>Under the laws of California New Jersey, and New York

<sup>3</sup>Employers may self insure by observing certain stipulations of the law includes some union plans whose provisions come under the law

they accounted for more than half the number of workers covered under some form of sick leave

### **Maternity Benefits**

Maternity is one cause of temporary income loss indemnified differently than others under typical sickness-benefit plans. Traditionally, women who have stopped work because of pregnancy either have had no protection against income loss or have been covered under plans that provide a shorter period of coverage than that provided under other types of temporary disability. A survey of the status of health insurance plans in private industry at the beginning of 1974 showed that about one-third of the workers covered under sickness-benefit programs had no maternity protection and that almost all the rest had benefits of

Includes State operated plans in Rhode Island, California and New Jersey the State Insurance Fund and the special fund for the disabled unemployed in New York and the railroad program

Table 7.—Premiums and benefit payments for private insurance against income loss, 1948-761 [In millions]

			[III IIIIIIOIIS]					
			Under volunta	ry provisions		Under public provisions		
Year	Total	Total	Group insur ance <sup>2</sup>	Indi vidual insur ance <sup>2</sup>	Self- insur- ance <sup>3</sup>	Total	Group insur- ance <sup>2</sup>	Self insur ance <sup>4</sup>
				Premiur	ns		_ <del></del>	
1948	\$558 9	\$545 8	\$162.2	\$350 0	\$33 6	\$13 1	\$12.7	\$0 4
1949	603 6	564 8	177.8	355 0	32 0	38 8	31.9	6 9
1950	685 3	609 4	225 6	360 0	23 8	75 9	58 3	17 6
1951	804 7	660 9	269 4	366 0	25 5	143 8	102 9	40 9
1952	874 0	718 2	286 2	405 4	26 6	155 8	112 8	43 0
1953	1 026 0	839 5	321 5	494 8	23 2	186 5	136 2	50 3
1954	1 074 1	896 0	340 1	534 2	21 7	178 1	129 8	48 3
1955		955 1	386 2	547 8	21 1	178 8	128 3	50 5
1956	1 206 3	1 029 2	418 3	591 2	19 7	177 1	128 5	48 6
1957	1 346 9	1 129 7	453 7	654 4	21 6	217 2	157 9	59 3
1958	1 417 9	1 185 6	449 6	714 6	21 4	232 3	167 8	64 5
1959	1,526 4	1 293 6	484 1	787 8	21 7	232 8	166 1	66 7
1960	1 561 9	1 323 1	516 8	783 0	23 3	238 8	168 2	70 6
1961	1 630 5	1 375 2	516 0	835 9	23 3	255 3	179 1	76 8
1962	1 695 9	1 440 5	556 9	856 5	27 1	255 4	179 6	75 8
1963	1,704 3	1 459 9	560 0	870 0	29 9	244 4	161 0	83 4
1964	1,825 1	1 587 1	620 8	933 0	33 3	238 0	153 2	84 8
1965	1,940 9	1 682 5	710 9	933 1	38 5	258 4	163 0	95 4
1966	2,153 9	1 873 8	810 6	1 018 5	44 7	280 1	175 9	104 2
1967	2 265 8	1 955 2	853 1	1 048 6	53 5	310 6	194 3	116 3
1968	2,727 7	2 385 7	1 131 8	1,198 0	55 9	342 0	209 2	132 8
1969	3 076 7	2 677 3	1 304 5	1 304 5	68 2	399 4	243 9	155 5
1970	3,308 6	2 891 2	1 512 7	1 299 7	78 8	417 4	249 6	167 8
1971	3 583 7	3,140 9	1 597 3	1,454 2	89 4	442 8	262 5	180 3
1972	3 918 7	3 419 4	1 853 8	1 459 0	106 6	499 3	279 2	220 1
1973	4 240 8	3,718 6	1 942 0	1 671 0	105 6	522 2	286 0	236 2
1974	4 618 1	4 101 0	2 119 4	1 871 0	110 6	517 1	270 6	246 5
1975	5 172 3	4 592 1	2 214 2	2 257 0	120 9	580 2	304 8	275 4
1976	5 334 4	4 749 4	2 559 3	2 054 0	136 1	585 0	283 7	301 3
				Benefit pay	ments		· · · - <u>-</u>	
1948	\$286 8	\$277 5	\$115.0	\$141 0	\$21.5	\$93	\$90	\$0.3
1949	322 0	294 9	124 7	150 0	20 2	27 1	22 3	4 8
1950	383 8	329 5	161 3	153 0	15 2	54 3	41 7	12 6
1951	500 8	387 5	212 4	157 0	18 1	113 3	81 1	32 2
1952	559 1	431 3	234 6	177 0		127 8	92 5	35 3
1953	606 2	466 5	241 0	209 0	19 7 16 5	139 7 [	102 0	37 7
1954	629 1	497 1	251 8	230 0	15 3	132 0	96 2	35 2
1955	692 4	557 2	292 0	250 0	15 2	135 2	97 0	38 2
1956	802 5	651 3	357 3	278 0	16 0	151 2	109 7	41 5
1957	874 4	696 3	372 3	307 2	16 8	178 1	129 5	48 6
1958	909 1	725 4	355 9	353 4	16 1	183 7	132 7	51 0
1959	990 1	800 6	394 2	389 6	16 8	189 <b>5</b>	135 2	54 3
1960	1 031 2	835 1	424 1	392 8	18 2	196 1	138 1	58 0
1961	1 051 6	850 2	406 8	425 9	17 5	201 4	141 3	60 f
1962	1 089 2	884 9	445 8	418 5	20 6	204 3	143 7	
1963	1 122 6	924 4	454 2	447 2	23 0	198 2	130 6	67 6
1964	1,199 6	1 008 2	498 9	483 9	25 4	191 4	123 2	68 2
1965 1966	1 249 7 1 356 1	1 052 1 1,147 7	541 6 603 2	482 6 512 9	27 9	197 6	124 8 130 9	72 8 77 5
1967	1 396 7	1 174 3	610 5	527 4	31 6 36 4	208 4 222 4	130 9	83 3
1968	1,732 8	1 481 1	832 9	609 1	39 1	251 7	154 0	97 7
1969	1 882 1	1 600 9	919 9	635 4	45 6	281 2	171 7	109 5
1970 1971	2 169 6	1 862 4 1 909 5	1,113 6	693 7	55 1	307 2	183 7	123 5
1971	2,219 9 2 386 4	2,057 9	1,119 1 1,219 3	730 9 772 0	59 5 66 6	310 4 328 5	184 0 183 7	126 4 144 8
							102 ( 1	
1973	2 530 8	2 177 3 2 493 8	1 314 4 1 565 3	795 0 851 0	67 9	353 <b>5</b> 381 6	193 6 ] 199 7 ]	159 9 181 9
		2 177 3 2 493 8 2 581 7 2,780 9	1 314 4 1 565 3 1,529 4 1 808 4	795 0 851 0 973 0 881 0	67 9 77 5 79 3 91 5	353 5 381 6 400 9 413 6	193 6 199 7 210 6 200 6	159 9 181 9 190 3 213 0

policies For 1956-76, dividends deducted from earned premiums (2-3 percent for group 1 percent for individual)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Beginning 1960 data include Alaska and Hawaii
<sup>2</sup>Data (including fraternal) provided by the Health Insurance Association of America for the United States on premiums earned and losses incurred by commercial companies, by type of insurance benefits adjusted to include accidental death and dismemberment provisions in individual policies insuring against income loss to offset understatement caused by the omission of current short term income loss insurance in automobile resident liability life and other

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Company and union-management trust fund trade union and mutual benefit association plans Excludes unfunded plans, included in table 9

<sup>\*</sup>Company, union and union-management plans under California, New Jersey, and New York laws whether or not funded

**Table 8.**—Insurance benefits as percent of estimated potentially insurable and compensable income loss <sup>1</sup> for workers without exclusive formal sick leave, 1948–76

[Amounts in millions]

	ļ	As a percent of income loss—						
Year	Amount of insurance	After fire	st 3 days <sup>3</sup>	After first 7 days				
	benefits <sup>2</sup>	Total	Two- thirds	Total	Two thirds			
1948	\$343	12 2	18 3	15 5	23 3			
1949	384	14 3	214	18 2	27 3			
1950	447	15 3	22 9	19 5	29 2			
1951	562	16 8	25 2	21 4	32 1			
1952	634	18 0	27 0	22 9	34 4			
1953	697	18 7	28 1	23 9	35 8			
1954	733	20 0	30 0	25 5	38 2			
1955	802	20 4	30 6	26 0	39 0			
1956	917	218	32 6	27 7	41 5			
1957	1 002	22 8	34 2	29 0	43 5			
1958	1 050	23 9	35 9	30 5	45 7			
1959	I 154	25 2	37 8	32 1	48 1			
1960	1 204	23 9	35 8	30 4	45 6			
1961	1 247	24 9	37 3	316	47 4			
1962	1 301	23 3	34 9	29 6	44 4			
1963	1 366	23 3	34 9	29 7	44 5			
1964	1,464	24 7	37 1	31.5	47 2			
1965	1 519	23 4	35 1	29 8	44 6			
1966	1 629	23 2	34 8	29 6	44 3			
1967	1 681	23 1	34 7	29 5	44 1			
1968	2 053	24 9	37 3	31 7	47 5			
1969	2,256	26 3	39 4	33 4	50 1			
1970	2,581	27 7	41.5	35 2	52 9			
1971	2 630	27 8	41 7	35 4	53 1			
1972	2 799	26 2	39 3	33 3	50 0			
1973	3,087	26 7	40 0	34 0	50 9			
1974	3,491	29 4	44 1	37 4	56 1			
1975	186 8	29 0	43 5	36 9	55 4			
1976	3 945	27 5	41 3	35 0	52 5			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The portion of income loss that may be considered insurable or compens able under prevailing insurance practices

shorter duration when out of work because of pregnancy Only about I percent were covered by plans providing the same benefits for maternity leave as for time lost because of sickness. Sickness-benefit plans with large coverage were more likely to contain maternity provisions than were smaller plans. This tendency is demonstrated by the fact that two-thirds of the workers under sickness-benefit plans had maternity coverage, but less than one-third of the sickness plans had this benefit provision

The difference in income-loss protection for pregnancy and childbirth compared with that for other conditions covered by sickness-benefit plans has recently come under public scrutiny. As noted in an earlier report in this series, 2 Supreme Court decisions in 1974 and 1976 have upheld the exclusion or restriction of maternity benefits from both voluntary plans and from plans established under State TDI laws. Interest-

**Table 9.**—Estimated value of formal paid sick leave in private industry and in Federal, State, and local government employment, 1948–76<sup>1</sup>

[In millions]

		w	orkers in private	ındustry <sup>2</sup>	Gove	rnment wo	rkers
Year	Total	Total	Not covered by temporary disability insurance laws	Covered by temporary disability insurance laws <sup>3</sup>	Total	Federal 4	State and local
948	\$418	\$158	\$146	\$12	\$259	\$148	\$111
949	464	164	149	16	300	173	127
950	495	180	156	24	315	172	143
951	591	201	166	35	390	221	169
952	670	213	181	37	453	254	199
953	716	235	196	38	482	262	22(
954	745	245	205	40	500	252	248
955	818	273	228	45	545	269	276
956	889	299	248	50	<b>5</b> 91	280	311
957	956	330	275	55	627	290	337
958	1 043	346	<b>29</b> 0	57	696	315	381
959	1 082	359	301	58	724	315	408
960	1 226	400	334	66	826	348	478
961	1 314	420	352	68	894	376	518
962	1 475	472	394	79	1 003	414	589
963	1 631	526	439	87	I 105	450	653
964	1 637	505	424	81	I 133	445	687
965	1 830	566	475	91	1 264	488	776
966	2 008	619	519	100	1,389	523	866
967	2 217	680	572	109	1 536	574	962
968	2 569	803	676	128	L 766	642	1 124
969	2 848	930	786	144	1 918	712	1 200
970	3 291	1 066	903	163	2 225	810	1,410
971	3 490	1,130	951	179	2 360	863	1,49
972	4 008	1,364	1,135	228	2 645	925	1 720
973	4 282	1 469	1 187	282	2 814	987	1 820
974	4 644	1 634	1 330	304	3 010	1 077	1 934
975	5 149	1 781	1 454	326	3 368	1 168	2 20
976	5 729	2 059	1 682	377	3 670	1 253	2 41

<sup>1</sup>Beginning 1960 data include Alaska and Hawaii Beginning 1959 data adjusted to reflect changes in sickness experience (average number of disability days) as reported in the Health Interview Survey of the Public Health Service Beginning 1967 no adjustment made in Federal workers data

<sup>2</sup>Sum of estimated value of formal paid sick leave for employees with (1) sick leave but no other group protection and (2) sick leave supplemental to group insurance or other forms of group protection including publicly oper ated funds Under each category number of employees was adopted from Health Insurance Council Annual Survey of Accident and Health Coverage in the United States, 1948-54, after reducing estimates of exclusive sickleave coverage in early years by a third to allow for exclusion of informal sick leave plans and conversion of exclusive protection to supplemental protection under temporary disability insurance laws. Later year estimates based on nationwide projection of formal paid sick leave coverage reported for plant and office workers in the community wage surveys of the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Assumes that workers in private industry receive an average of 4 days of paid sick leave a year excluding other protection and 3 2 days when they have other group protection. Daily wages obtained by dividing average annual earnings per full time private employee as reported in table 67 in National Income and Product Accounts of the United States, 1929-74, Statistical Tables, 1977, and in the Survey of Current Business, National Income Issue, published annually Department of Commerce by 255 (estimated workdays in a year)

<sup>3</sup>Assumes that some workers entitled to cash benefits under temporary disability insurance laws have sick leave in addition to their benefits under the laws but only to the extent needed to bring up to 80 percent the replacement of their potential wage loss

<sup>4</sup>Based on studies showing that Federal employees use paid sick leave of 7 7 days on the average for nonoccupational sickness equivalent to 3 percent of payroll Payroll data derived by multiplying number of paid civilian full time employees in all branches of the Federal Government in the United States by their mean earnings as reported in Pay Structure of the Federal Civil Service, annual report U S Civil Service Commission Beginning 1967 payroll data obtained from Federal Civilian Manpower Statistics, U S Civil Service Commission

<sup>3</sup>Assumes that number of State and local government employees covered by formal sick leave plans increased gradually from 65 percent of the total number employed full time in 1948 to 90 percent currently and that workers covered by such plans received on the average, paid sick leave ranging from 5 2 days in 1948 to 6 1 currently Number of full time employees from Public Employment, annual reports, Bureau of the Census Daily wages obtained by dividing average annual earnings per full time State and local employee as reported in Department of Commerce data (see footnote 2), by 255 (estimated workdays in a year)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Excludes sick leave payments
<sup>3</sup>Based on 70 percent of total income loss (from table 1), after omitting income loss of workers covered by exclusive sick leave (from table 10)

<sup>\*</sup>Based on 55 percent of total income loss (from table 1) after exclusion of income loss of workers covered by exclusive sick leave plans (from table

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Daniel N Price, "Cash Benefits for Short-Term Sickness, 1975," Social Security Bulletin, May 1977, pages 27-30

ingly, two TDI States (California and New York) that previously excluded pregnancy from coverage subsequently changed their laws to permit a limited pregnancy benefit Among the six TDI programs, only the one in Puerto Rico currently does not contain a cash benefit for maternity Except in Rhode Island, the weekly benefit amount for maternity was the same as that for other disabilities The provisions on duration of benefits for maternity are listed below

•	
State	Maximum duration
California	3 weeks before and 3 weeks after termination of pregnancy
Hawaii	26 weeks (same as for other disabilities)
New Jersey	4 weeks before and 4 weeks after termination of pregnancy
New York	8 weeks
Puerto Rico	None
Railroad program	26 weeks (same as for other disabilities)
Rhode Island	Not applicable (lump sum of up to \$250 payable at birth)

In addition, the arbitrator in a recent labormanagement dispute ruled that pregnancy is not a sickness for the purposes of sick-leave and insurance programs and that such plans cannot be required to pay benefits for pregnancy unless such payments are specifically provided for in the plans <sup>3</sup> This controversial issue will no doubt continue to be debated Federal legislative proposals to require full maternity benefits under all sickness-benefit plans are currently the focus of attention

Data on the use of maternity benefits have been reported for three publicly operated plans—those for California, New Jersey, and the railroad industry The California State plan, which began providing cash benefits for up to 3 weeks before and 3 weeks after delivery in 1977, reported an average benefit duration of 4 6 weeks for the October 1977–March 1978 period, with weekly benefits averaging \$83 For these 2 quarters, total benefits paid (including benefits for normal pregnancy) exceeded the amounts paid without maternity benefits by about 5 percent

Under the New Jersey public fund the average duration per maternity benefit claim has been near the maximum in recent years. In 1976, this program paid benefits for an average of 7 8 weeks, and the average weekly benefit was \$76 Maternity benefits added almost 15 percent to aggregate benefits paid for sickness in 1976.

Table 10.—Estimated value of formal paid sick leave in relation to income loss due to short-term sickness among workers covered by exclusive formal sick-leave plans, 1948-76

[Amounts in millions]								
Year	Income loss	Value of sick leave under exclusive plans	Ratio (per cent) of sick leave to income loss					
1948	\$569	\$378	66 4					
1949	605	417	68 9					
1950	639	434	67 9					
1951	726	509	70 1					
1952	808	578	71.5					
1953	850	614	72 2					
1954	879	636	72 4					
1955	958	694	72 4					
1956	1 030	748	72 6					
1957	1 113	804	72 2					
1958	1,211	879	72 6					
1959	1 213	910	75 0					
1960	1 394	1 038	74 5					
1961	1,495	1,124	75 2					
1962	1 667	1 254	75 2					
1963	1 841	1,385	75 2					
1964	1,845	1 401	75 9					
1965	2 057	1,566	76 1					
1966	2 252	1 711	76 0					
1967	2,457	1 888	76 8					
1968	2,811	2,178	77.5					
1969	3 033	2 364	779					
1970	3 448	2 717	78 8					
1971	3 642	2 873	78 9					
1972	4 235	3 296	77 8					
1973	4 536	3 521	77 6					
1974	4 833	3 758	77 8					
1975	5 448	4 223	77 5					
1976	5,986	4 626	77 3					

 $^{1}\,\mbox{Plans}$  that do not supplement any other form of group protection, including publicly operated plans

Railroad workers receiving benefits for maternity were on the rolls for an average of 15 3 weeks during the 12 months ended June 1976, and received an average of \$117 a week Since the railroad industry employs men predominantly, the amount of maternity benefits during that period was modest in relation to the total payments for other sickness—a little more than 3 percent

### **Technical Note**

The income-loss estimates used here are designed to reflect the loss of current earnings during the first 6 months of a nonoccupational illness or injury. This definition encompasses almost all the worktime lost because of a temporary disability and the first 6 months lost because of a long-term disability. The estimates include, in addition to actual income loss, the potential loss—that is, income that would have been lost if there were no sick-leave plan to continue wages and salaries during periods of illness. Payments under such plans are counted here as benefits that offset the potential wage loss.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>See the summary of Dentler-Facs and Meat Cutters Local 171, FMCS No 77-K-05998, September 2, 1977, in Pension Reporter (Bureau of National Affairs, Inc.), October 24, 1977

Under this concept, the average annual duration of worktime loss has been estimated at 7 days for wage and salary workers in private industry, 8 days for Federal employees, and 7 days for State and local government workers. These averages have been modified annually, starting with 1959, to reflect the actual year-to-year overall variations in sickness rates as reported by the annual Health Interview Survey of the Public Health Service. These survey data are used as a measure of year-to-year variations rather than as the measure of average number of income days lost because of several significant conceptual differences between that survey and the Social Security Administration series.

To make the annual adjustment, a rate of sickness among workers is compiled by means of the Public Health Service data Expressed as an index with 1958 as the base of 100, the rate for 1976 has been computed as 102 In 1975 the rate was 100 This rate has stayed within the 100-105 range for 10 years

Starting with this article the annual adjustment for changes in Federal employee workloss days has been discontinued and eliminated in the revision of the series back to 1967 Examination of related data on sick-leave benefits paid has shown that the adjustment did not improve the estimates for this sector. A better match is produced with Civil Service Commission information without the adjustment. It is reasonable to expect that a

national sickness index of the kind compiled by the Social Security Administration might not apply consistently to Federal workers because they are concentrated in certain areas of the country

The Social Security Administration estimates for Federal Government sick-leave payments also have been revised back to 1967. A Civil Service Commission payroll series for all workers, rather than the payroll data for full-time workers used formerly, is now being applied to the estimated number of annual days of sick leave used. In addition, sick-leave payments reported by the Civil Service Commission have been used to adjust the series estimated by the Social Security Administration.

The revised figures on the use of Federal sick leave are higher than those in the old series, and by substantial amounts for the past few years. The largest adjustment, for 1974, involved an increase of \$171 million from the previous estimate, to a new total of \$1,077 million. The main difference in the Federal sick-leave amounts is that they now include sick leave for part-time employment. Because part-time employment has grown significantly in the 1970's,4 it is particularly appropriate to make this revision now.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Part-time Federal employment rose from 66,739 in June 1970 to 165,667 in January 1977 See Civil Service Commission, Federal Civilian Manpower Statistics, various issues